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Summary

- The creation of a thriving regional airport is vital to realising the economic potential of South Wales and the Cardiff city region.

- It will provide a symbolically important gateway to Wales and support three sectors identified as priorities by the Welsh Government - Advanced Materials & Manufacturing, Life Sciences and Financial and Professional Services. It should also benefit tourism, the tertiary education sector and public services.

- Development of Cardiff and St Athan airports has the potential to retain 3,000 existing jobs and generate several thousand new jobs through the establishment of an aviation-driven business district that will equip Wales with the infrastructure to compete in an increasingly speed-conscious, globally networked economy.

- The performance of Cardiff International Airport (CIA) in the period preceding Welsh Government acquisition was weak compared with peer cities in the UK, Europe and the US. And whilst there is evidence of improvement post-acquisition, turning the airport’s commercial prospects around will require further investment alongside a coherent medium term strategy to achieve expansion and secure wider economic benefits.

- Such a strategy should take account of: Air Passenger Duty, air route development, tourism opportunities, air cargo, other aeronautical activities, Air Traffic Control coordination, aerospace and Maintenance, Repair and Overhaul (MRO) activity, renewable energy production, improved surface access and the capture of betterment value from investment in the airport through the creation of an airport business quarter or district.

- Both airports should be retained and the Welsh Government will need to provide significant capital and revenue funding for aviation related investment, with returns on that investment having the medium to long term horizon typical of major infrastructure – this ownership model is common for airports in many parts of Europe and North America. However, in doing so, it will also need to regard EU State Aid regulations, competitive pressures and the financial and external risks associated with major investment in airports and supporting development in the surrounding area.

- The Cardiff - St Athan Strategic Development Framework provides a coherent and logical strategic vision if masterplan details remain flexible, but it should be underpinned by a clear ‘Route Map’ which establishes appropriate governance and management structures, identifies funding sources and sets out programme delivery mechanisms. This should be accompanied by a robust 3-5 year Business Plan for each airport and annual budgets tied clearly to the delivery of the business plans.
Introduction

The Minister for Enterprise, Science and Transport (EST) asked the Public Policy Institute for Wales (PPIW) to provide advice on ways of maximising the direct and indirect economic benefits of the Government’s investment in Cardiff International Airport (CIA) and St Athan Airport. The PPIW has worked with Chris Cain of Northpoint Aviation to conduct a review of the evidence and identify a strategy (including funding options) to achieve this. The analysis in this report is based on:

- A review of the international evidence base including nearly 100 professional and academic reports, policy documents, newspaper and online articles;
- Statistical analysis based on newly collated data and publicly available statistical data and graphics;
- Discussions with Welsh Government officials, the management team at CIA and its outgoing and incoming Chairmen, the Welsh Government team at St Athan and other key individuals;
- Benchmarks that facilitate comparisons with peer regions/cities and to identify factors that influence different approaches to the use of airport infrastructure and the lessons that can be drawn from this;
- An assessment of the air connectivity and infrastructure requirements of key sectors of the Welsh economy, focusing on those which are identified as priorities by the Welsh Government (Welsh Government, 2010) and have a high propensity to fly (Advanced Materials and Manufacturing (AMM), Life Sciences and Financial and Professional Services (FPD)) and other sectors which are significant users of education (such as Tourism, the Public Sector and Tertiary Education); and
- An indication of potential sources of funding for taking forward the initiatives that are recommended.

The remainder of this report comprises the following key sections:

- **Context** of the study, which sets the scene for the subsequent analysis, discussion and recommendations;
- **A review of the academic and professional literature** on the role of airports in local and city regional economic development;
- Facts and figures relating to the airport’s historic and current performance (set in the context of a number of benchmarked peers);
• The significance of enhanced ‘air connectivity’ in helping to realise material productivity and trading benefits for priority economic sectors in Wales;

• The potential of St Athan and Cardiff Airport’s Enterprise Zone to enhance the established aviation/aerospace cluster in South Wales, whilst also developing as a major business and employment node within Cardiff City Region in its own right;

• The strategic initiatives that could help deliver the prospective economic benefits for the Cardiff City Region, South Wales and the Welsh economy;

• The constraints and risks that will need to be addressed in order to achieve this;

• The funding and governance arrangements required to do so; and

• Conclusions and recommendations.

Context

When the Welsh Government bought CIA for circa £52m in March 2013, the price paid was reported by industry commentators to be at a premium compared to that which a private sector investor would have paid. However, this ignores a series of factors that justify a valuation well above the kind of figure suggested by simplistic use of multipliers of EBITDA\(^1\) including:

• The apparent willingness of Abertis to continue to run down the condition of the asset and lose market share rather than make further investment (with the possible result that ultimately the airport would have closed or been moth-balled);

• The consequent need to accept an acquisition ‘premium’ in order to persuade a recalcitrant seller to agree to an early disposal when doing so would crystallise their losses. Abertis claimed the disposal price reflected the value of the asset in their accounts, but this public position almost certainly overlooked earlier write downs of the airport’s book value as its profitability diminished between 2008-13\(^2\); and

• The opportunity that the Airport represents to a new owner, wise enough to recognise its underlying commercial value, and with the resources and commitment to realise its long-term potential, if well run, to generate material investment returns is significant.

---

1 Earnings before interest, tax depreciation and amortisation.
2 Potentially as much as c£45-50m, taking into account the price Abertis paid to acquire TBI Ltd in 2004 (£551m), which was reported to value Cardiff Airport at £100m at the time of the airport’s acquisition by the Welsh Government in 2013.
For these and other reasons, not least that Abertis were unwilling to sell the Airport to any other buyer for less than the sum agreed by the Welsh Government, even when its wish to dispose of the asset was well known amongst other airport operators and investors (and several made approaches), it is difficult not to conclude that the price paid represented an appropriate market value. Moreover, if a broader long-term economic perspective is taken (as opposed to a narrow short-term commercial one), then the acquisition will, in the medium-long term, prove to be both prescient and of considerable strategic importance to Wales.

For smaller nations like Wales, located on the periphery of Europe, and certainly a capital city region with a population the size of Cardiff’s, the importance of the connectivity afforded by domestic and international air links, whether in helping to facilitate trade, attract inward investment or stimulate inbound tourism, is now well recognised in the academic literature and increasingly by Government policy makers. But if appropriate airport infrastructure is not provided locally, then the ability to capture the economic benefits associated with new air services will be lost altogether; or, if neighbouring cities/sub-regions have suitable airport facilities they will leak away across administrative boundaries diluting the economic response locally.

Reliance on air services from airports in a neighbouring country or region (as happens at Manchester and Liverpool in the case of North Wales and South Wales because of Bristol Airport’s ability to attract cross-border traffic) is rarely a satisfactory means of delivering strategically important connectivity. This is true, even when the immediate alternatives are only 60-90 minutes away and a global hub offering inter-continental services can be accessed within three hours, as is the case with Cardiff.

Moreover, it is also not the best way of securing for the local or regional economy the:

- Direct, indirect and induced jobs associated with an airport’s operation;
- GVA generated as a function of the enhanced productivity facilitated by travel time savings; or
- Wider catalytic benefits (tourism, trade, inward investment and cluster effects) that an airport can give rise to.

Hence, exporting air services and locally originating passengers is economically inefficient, especially when investing in their provision can give rise to a material economic windfall.
This perspective is illustrated well by the example of Plymouth, a city region with a population of over 300,000, which is beginning to witness the fallout from the closure of its airport in 2012, in terms of stalled investment amongst outward facing businesses and a lack of confidence across the city’s wider economy. The result is continuous calls for the airport to be re-opened and air services to be restored. Similar fears lay behind the funding of a Public Service Obligation (PSO) route to London from Dundee, traffic from which is an important source of income for the local airport. Dundee is again a substantial city of around 230,000 population, with a relatively diverse economy encompassing computer games, life sciences, financial services, tertiary education and tourism, many of which are significant users of air services, and also important components of Cardiff City region’s economy. Seen in that context, the price paid by the Welsh Government for CIA makes sound commercial, economic and political sense if it can retain the economic outputs that it generates within Wales.

However, realising that potential is by no means straightforward, as the performance of the airport over the two years since it was acquired has demonstrated. With the downward spiral which was allowed to gain momentum under the previous ‘private sector’ owners now apparently curtailed, traffic and finances stabilised and a long term based carrier attracted, what is now required is the development of:

- A sound but exciting strategic and commercial vision;
- A carefully thought through and clearly articulated ‘route map’ for delivery; and above all else
- A committed, pro-active and appropriately resourced programme team, supported by the management teams at each airport to manage the delivery process and so take advantage of the green shoots of economic recovery.

Aviation and the Economy

A wide ranging review of the international literature was undertaken. This included:

- The latest academic insight and policy thinking on how Government institutions can align their influence and resources to improve regional economic outcomes, generate growth and re-balance the UK economy;
- Academic studies on the relationship between aviation and economic development;
• Relevant policy documents setting out strategies for priority sectors in the Welsh economy and infrastructure initiatives in the Cardiff City Region; and

• Numerous background reports on the two airports and the aviation and aerospace sector in Wales.

A full schedule of these sources is provided in the bibliography. Later sections of the report discuss the evidence from policy documents relating specifically to Wales. This section focuses on the wider literature, which provides important contextual policy background and strategic thought leadership.

The Regional Economic Policy Debate

With economic growth and re-balancing a major focus for the last UK Government, and for the current one, there has been a significant shift in approach to regional economic policy in the UK since 2010. The focus on devolving powers to Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland and eight regional development agencies in England to deliver economic growth has been replaced by a new emphasis on urban dynamism and the localism agenda, led by Local Enterprise Partnerships (LEP’s), combined authorities, competitive growth funding and City Deals. However, the urban areas at the heart of South Wales’ economy – Cardiff, Swansea and Newport – already benefited from the pro-active approach adopted by the Welsh Government since 2010 towards key economic sectors (Welsh Government, 2010). Furthermore the Welsh Government’s decision to promote the concept of a capital city region (Welsh Government, 2015A), as the basis for a co-ordinated and integrated approach to the development of Cardiff and its hinterland (including Newport), is very much in line with - indeed arguably slightly ahead of - similar initiatives in other parts of the UK.

It also reflects evolving thinking about the role of the state as a strategic enabler and long-term investor in innovation and infrastructure. Mazzucato (2013), for example, argues that major technological innovation requires a commitment of long-term government funding because the financial risks associated with fundamental research are simply too great for even large companies to sustain. Mazzucato and Penna (2014) highlight the success of the Fraunhofer Institute in Germany. Jointly funded, to ensure large companies are actively engaged in partnering with academic and specialist R&D institutions, the Institute helps companies to identify new technologies that they can then exploit commercially. The UK equivalent is the new Catapult Centres, but they are far less well-resourced than their German and American equivalents.
Mazzucato acknowledges this is not the only arena in which the state can make an important contribution to securing economic growth. Providing a well-educated workforce and apprenticeships, through which new employees can develop relevant skills, is crucial to companies of all sizes. Equally important is well-designed and efficiently functioning infrastructure (e.g. broadband, transport and utilities), built with adequate capacity to cater for current and future needs. The Chancellor of the Exchequer’s ‘Productivity Plan’ published in July 2015 (HM Treasury, 2015) and his 5th of November 2015 announcement that he is establishing a National Infrastructure Commission (UK Government Press Release, 2015), demonstrate that the current UK Government understands the importance of investment in these areas.

For ‘outward facing’ enterprises in South Wales marketing products or services beyond the Welsh border, or internationally, ‘connectivity’ is the essential ingredient which enables them to engage effectively with customers and suppliers, regulators, policy makers, researchers and designers on a face-to-face basis. It is here that air services and the availability of suitable airport infrastructure play a crucial role.

The final facet of regional development policy that it is worth highlighting in this context is the work of Professor Ron Martin and his colleagues from Cambridge University which shows that in spite of numerous policy interventions from the 1970s onwards to regenerate major cities in the UK outside London and the South East, the economic performance across the UK’s regions and nations has become more and more unbalanced (Martin et al., 2015; Martin, 2015). They conclude that although some of these interventions, most notably those which encourage ‘agglomeration economies’ (facilitated by enhanced urban/inter-urban transport links) and cluster formation (the value of which is articulated by Michael E Porter) may be beneficial (Porter, 1998), they will not themselves address the problems associated with unbalanced growth on the scale that the UK now faces (see Figure 1). Martin argues that as long as Government Departments (and the expenditure and employment that goes with them), are concentrated in central London, then its emergence as a dominant World City and the centre of the all-powerful South East mega-region, will go unchecked. Moreover, initiatives such as the Northern Powerhouse and West Midlands Engine will also not, by themselves, be able to deliver growth on the scale required to meet the Government’s core economic re-balancing objective.
Figure 1: Standard Deviation of Regional GVA Shares in Developed Economies

The Lyons Review (Lyons, 2004), revisited by Ian R Smith at the behest of the Chancellor of the Exchequer, came to similar conclusions. Smith (2010) is a strong advocate of moving all but the most essential high level policy roles requiring face to face contact with Ministers outside London and the South East. Wales has already been a significant beneficiary of such Whitehall relocations (e.g. the DVLA to Swansea, the Office of National Statistics to Newport and the Royal Mint to Llantrisant), but could benefit further from such opportunities. Smith’s view was that in many cases there were significant upsides to co-locating central and local government departments and agencies together in public service clusters, either in offices blocks at the heart of regional cities or purpose built campus style accommodation on their outskirts. However, the effective functioning of these outsourced units requires excellent IT and transport connectivity with Whitehall, the European Commission and inter-continentally with other Governments and global institutions. This will again place regions and nations that have excellent broadband capability and good access to air services at a distinct advantage, because central government departments have a relatively high propensity to fly. Whilst South Wales and Cardiff in particular are already ‘super-connected’ in terms of broadband, there is certainly considerable scope for improving the latter, as outlined in the next section.

---

3 Offering 80-100 megabytes broadband, access to Europe’s largest Tier 3 Data Centre (run by Next Generation Data) and priority roll out of EE’s (Everything Everywhere’s) 4G service.
Air Connectivity and the Economy

There are two kinds of economic effects related to airports. The first, the generation of employment, income, and capital investment, ensues ‘naturally’ from the process of providing airport services. The second, the dynamic economic ‘catalytic’ or ‘spin-off’ benefits, include tourism, improved export levels and inward investment, and are stimulated by the presence of an airport close to a city or serving the wider sub-region (Zak & Getzner, 2014).

Economic benefits associated with airport operations are typically subdivided into direct, indirect and induced effects (indirect and induced effects are also referred to as ‘backward linkages’ (The Austrian Institute of Economic Research, 2007) and catalytic effects. There is an extensive literature examining these links. Based on this and the most recent analysis by Zak and Genztner (2014) we can place some reliance on York Aviation’s estimates of jobs and GVA associated with Cardiff airport in 2012 namely:

- One million passengers gives rise to 950 aviation related jobs at the airport and a further 800 at British Airways Maintenance Cardiff (BAMC);
- Indirect and induced jobs are calculated by applying a multiplier (in this case 0.5) giving 2,600 jobs in total; and
- At an average GVA per employee of £35,750, the overall GVA impact on the local economy is £93m.

Updating 2014, based on an annual passenger total of 1.023 million passengers per annum (mppa), gives an overall figure for jobs of 2,625 and a GVA of £102m.

The Davies Commission and relevant UK Government Departments (Her Majesty’s Treasury, the Department for Business Innovation and Skills, the Department for Transport and The Department for Culture Media and Sport) all now acknowledge the contribution that the UK aviation and associated aerospace industries make to national and regional economies in terms of core outputs such as jobs, tax revenues and GDP. However, increasing recognition is also being taken to the catalytic benefits arising from enhanced air connectivity (e.g. trade, tourism, inward investment and productivity) and cluster effects (i.e. agglomeration economies and spill-over effects) associated with aviation related and non-aeronautical development in and outside the operational boundary fence of airports. As a result, attempts are also being made to quantify and understand the direction of causality associated with these effects.
Thierstein et al. (2011) have provided a stylised model of the relationship between the knowledge economy (comprising Advanced Producer Services, High Tech industries and knowledge creating establishments such as universities and research establishments); the urban areas in which it is located and air transport services (Figure 2).

**Figure 2: Impact of Air Transport on Knowledge Intensive Sectors**

The Centre for Cities has argued that innovation and technological change has seen UK cities shift increasingly towards knowledge-intensive activities over the last three to four decades (Clayton, 2015). This means that it is possible to place digital, creative and professional services firms at the heart of urban employment and output growth, which helps to understand why city development is being so widely adopted as a key macroeconomic device for driving growth and economic re-balancing across the UK.

So-called ‘new work’ sectors are now among the largest job creators and the most highly productive businesses in the country. They also appear to drive up demand in local
economies, creating opportunities for other businesses more dependent on the domestic market, such as food and retail. However, as explained below, they have a high propensity to operate internationally and therefore to fly. So cities with more ‘new work’ SMEs, which tend to be more productive, more innovative, and have higher wages and lower unemployment, require convenient access to an airport and the international connectivity it offers.

Moreover, Thierstein’s conceptualisation, which attempts to define the positive feedback loops between the sector concerned and aviation, would also appear capable of adaption for other sectors of the economy in which Propensity To Fly (PTF) is materially high. As such it provides useful insights to support the case that the catalytic impacts of aviation are closely linked to connectivity. Particularly as connectivity is itself an expression of the range, frequency of service, the economic importance of destinations and the number of onward connections available from each airport. The theory suggests that catalytic benefits therefore accrue in three main ways:

- By allowing better understanding of markets and competitors (especially internationally) so that resources be redirected to the most productive uses and increase international trade in goods, services and tourism;⁴
- By facilitating knowledge sharing and access to new markets and suppliers, more sophisticated technologies and new ways of working may be identified helping to improve cost efficiency and raise domestic productivity; and
- By enabling inward investment to be attracted, capital intensity can be increased, raising per capita output and the scale of trading activity.

This prospectively means that the most significant long-term impacts of aviation connectivity are therefore not just direct employment effects, but the ability to generate economic growth by facilitating investment and enhanced productivity in the wider economy. Whilst connectivity is not a guarantee of a competitive national or sub-regional economy, because in an open market the benefits (positive and negative) can flow both ways, it is often a necessary pre-condition which enables an economy to:

- Draw on a wider source of labour, skills and capital;
- Transport goods and services efficiently and reach distant markets; and
- Encourage investment by foreign as well as domestic firms (Oxera, 2010).

---

⁴ Shifting more resources into export sectors could raise average productivity, although it may have an offsetting effect of raising the exchange rate (potentially disadvantaging other sectors, but raising real national disposable income through cheaper imports).
Good examples of this can be found in the economies of the more peripheral parts of the UK. For example, in the Highlands of Scotland the importance of maintaining or improving air access from Inverness is a significant focus for local development agencies, not least because the whisky industry, which is a mainstay of the regional economy, is highly internationalised and export orientated. The same applies in the energy sector for Aberdeen and the tourism sector for Cornwall.

In a report for the Department for Transport (DfT), Oxera (2010) identified the main benefits of connectivity as transport user benefits, better place competitiveness and wider economic benefits. While a study by Oxford Economic Forecasting (2006) demonstrated that a good air transport network positively affects economic growth by improving efficiency, boosting investments and encouraging more innovation. It states:

“The improvement in productivity in firms outside the aviation sector comes through two main channels: through the effects on domestic firms of increased access to foreign markets, and increased foreign competition in the home market, and through the freer movement of investment capital and workers between countries.”

Recent research by Baker, Merkert and Kanruzzman (2015) has developed the case for catalytic effects further, by providing the first empirical evidence of strong short and long run bi-directional causality between enhanced regional air transport and economic growth based on an examination of 88 regional airports in Australia over a period of 1985–86 to 2010–11. And using the same kind of Grainger causality analysis, Derudder (2014) has even been able to point to evidence of positive causality where air links have been materially enhanced in remote and peripheral regions.

**Benchmarking the Airport’s Performance**

The high watermark for CIA in terms of passenger throughput was 2007 when it was used by 2.094m passengers. The subsequent decline in throughput (to 1.02m in 2014) and loss of route network has been significant though it is worth noting that there have been signs of a small recovery in Cardiff’s fortunes this summer, consequent upon the arrival of Flybe as a based carrier and good load factors for other key airlines.
Meanwhile Bristol has continued to grow consistently. The result is that whereas in 2008 45% of air passengers from South Wales used CIA, in 2014 the figure was 26% (see Figure 4). The cross border leakage that this implies (i.e. 74%) is the highest of any substantive regional airport in the UK. According to CAA survey data from 2012, more Welsh passengers (1.08m) now use Bristol than CIA (0.94m), even though it is over an hour’s drive away from the city of Cardiff and requires the payment of a £6.50 toll to cross the Severn by car on the return journey. A further 1.18m Welsh passengers use London airports, principally Heathrow. The only destinations where CIA serves over 50% of the South Wales market are Amsterdam, Greece and the Republic of Ireland. For all other short haul destinations Bristol has secured a dominant market position; similarly Heathrow for long haul.
Compared to the current passenger throughput of airports serving peer UK Core Cities like Bristol (6.3m), Newcastle (4.5m), East Midlands (4.3m) and Liverpool (4.0m), all of which have broadly similar underlying catchment populations and larger competitor airports on the edge of their catchments, CIA is clearly under-performing. Whilst this can be ascribed in part to a slightly lower propensity to fly in South Wales, which may be associated with lower income levels and the draw of the major London airports 2-3 hours away, it still does not explain all of the difference or the levels of leakage to Bristol. Nor does it offer a convincing rationale as to why Bristol and its satellite towns and cities in Avon, Somerset and Gloucestershire with a 3.4 million catchment population vs 1.8 million in South Wales attracts over six times the passenger numbers of (Figures 5 and 6).

The success of Bristol can be put down in part to the substantive presence of EasyJet and Ryanair at Bristol Airport, which has attracted Welsh passengers (alongside others from across the south west) looking for low cost fares. The strength of the Bristol economy in high propensity to fly sectors such as financial and professional services (Axa, Friends Provident, Hargreaves Lansdowne and RBS), high tech industries (aerospace and composites– Airbus, Rolls Royce, BAe Systems and GKN), central government agencies (e.g. MoD Procurement, GCHQ in Cheltenham) and ICT (HP Labs, Aardman and Intel), which have in turn generated the third highest GDP/Capita in England, has undoubtedly also made a major contribution.

---

5 Figures represent annual terminal passenger throughput in 2014
Figure 5: Core and Extended Catchment Areas for CIA

Source: CIAL

Figure 6: Cardiff and Bristol's Overlapping Catchment Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catchment comparison</th>
<th>Cardiff Airport</th>
<th>Bristol Airport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population within 60 Minute drive radius</td>
<td><strong>Circa 1.8 Million</strong></td>
<td><strong>Circa 3.4 Million</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CIAL
If we look further afield to cities and sub-regions that are either twinned with Cardiff, such as Stuttgart in Germany, or have a similar demography and economic heritage (e.g. the Tyne and Wear in the North East or Pittsburgh in Pennsylvania), then the relative under-performance of Cardiff's airport and economy is quite noticeable. Boxes 1 and 2 benchmark Stuttgart and Pittsburgh, their city regions, economies and airports respectively, against Cardiff, South Wales and CIA. The population and economies of these cities support substantially bigger airports than that of the similarly sized and structured Cardiff Capital Region.

**Box 1: Benchmarking Stuttgart and its Airport vs. Cardiff Capital Region/CIA**

**Stuttgart**

Cardiff is twinned with Stuttgart, one of Europe's most prosperous urban areas and the capital of Baden-Württemberg. The city has a population of 370,000 and the broader city region 2.7m one of the highest general GDP per capita in Germany (€57,100/head). It owes its economic success to:

- Being the cradle of Germany's car industry Daimler and Porsche have their HQs there;
- Having the world or German HQs of several other major international companies (e.g. Bosch, Celesio, Hewlett-Packard and IBM);
- The presence of the Stuttgart Stock Exchange is the second largest in Germany (after Frankfurt) with many leading companies in the financial services sector are headquartered in the city (e.g. LBBW Bank, Wüstenrot & Württembergische, Allianz Life Assurance);
- A strong mittelstand enterprises sector, and;
- The highest density of scientific, academic and research organisations Germany (the area is home to six Fraunhofer institutes, four institutes of collaborative industrial research at local universities and the German Aerospace Centre - 24 per cent of employees work in the high-tech sector and no other region in Germany registers so many patents and designs as Stuttgart.

With R&D, high tech and financial service companies amongst the most significant users of aviation, Stuttgart Airport is the sixth busiest airport in Germany with 9.7mppa in 2014. It is a key base for Germanwings and features short haul flights to several major European business cities as well as a range of leisure destinations; it also offers long-haul services to a North American hub (Atlanta) and one in the Middle East (Abu Dhabi). The airport is located 13 km (8.1 mi) south of Stuttgart and can be reached within 30 mins from the city's main railway station by suburban railway.

In many ways, therefore, Stuttgart and its airport serves as an excellent model for Cardiff Capital Region to aspire to; but in doing so it also emphasises just how far behind CIA is in terms of connectivity by comparison with what should be a peer airport, whilst illustrating the importance of having a committed based carrier if the kind of network Cardiff should be seeking is to be secured.

Source: Summary by Northpoint Aviation
Box 2: Benchmarking Pittsburgh and its Airport vs Cardiff Capital Region and CIA

**Pittsburgh**

The presence of significant iron and coal deposits and easy access to waterways for barge traffic, underpinned Pittsburgh’s rise as one of the most important steel producing cities in the world between 1815-1970, with the help of those who migrated from Wales after the Merthyr rising in the 1830s. The subsequent decline of the steel industry has required the city to change its economic focus so that today, it is better known for its hospitals, universities and industrial clusters which include high technology, robotics, health care, nuclear engineering, finance, education and tourism.

As a result Pittsburgh is home to a number of major companies and is ranked in the top ten among US cities hosting headquarters of Fortune 500 corporations. These include U.S. Steel Corporation, PNC Financial Services Group, PPG Industries, HJ Heinz Company, Bayer, Alcoa, Allegheny Technologies and American Eagle Outfitters. Google, Apple, Bosch, Disney, Uber, Intel and IBM are among 1,600 technology firms with a presence in Pittsburgh which also serves as the federal agency headquarters for cyber defence, software engineering, robotics, energy research and the nuclear navy. Other major employers include BNY Mellon, GlaxoSmithKline, Thermo Fisher Scientific and the Northeast U.S. regional headquarters for Chevron Corporation, Nova Chemicals, Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, FedEx Ground, Ariba, and the RAND Corporation.

This is again the kind of mix of sectors and enterprises that Cardiff Capital Region would aspire to host, and the city which is the county seat (regional capital) has a population of 305,842 (similar to Cardiff) and the county seat of Allegheny County (similar to the Pittsburgh city region) has a population of 2,659,937, only slightly more than that within a one hour catchment of Cardiff. And yet Pittsburgh Airport is much more substantial with US Air/American as the based carrier around 9mpa and flights to most major US cities as well as Canada, Mexico, the Caribbean, and Europe (Paris). The airport, which is regularly ranked highly in surveys of US airports, is also the home of Pittsburgh Air Reserve Station, a combined facility of the Air Force Reserve Command and the Air National Guard, providing aerial refuelling, air mobility and tactical airlift support to the U.S. Air Force.

Source: Summary by Northpoint Aviation

**Figure 7: Benchmarking Business and Leisure Passengers (Pax) at CIA, BRS and NCL**

*Business/Leisure Split by Pax (000's)*

- **CARDIFF WALES**
  - Business: [Graph Data]
  - Leisure: [Graph Data]

- **BRISTOL**
  - Business: [Graph Data]
  - Leisure: [Graph Data]

- **NEWCASTLE**
  - Business: [Graph Data]
  - Leisure: [Graph Data]

Source: CAA Statistics
This disconnect is even more stark closer to home, where Tyne and Wear in the North-East of England has a smaller sub-regional population than South Wales, similar income and employment levels and an economy also in transition. However, Newcastle Airport, like Pittsburgh and Stuttgart, provides a wide range of business connections, in addition to outbound leisure flights and two long haul services, it has at over four times CIA’s passenger volumes and many more business passengers (Figure 7).

Moreover, there is a similar story in terms of air cargo tonnages, with figures of around 2,300 tonnes recorded for Cardiff in 2004 when TNT operated from the airfield, reduced to just 36 tonnes in 2014. At Newcastle and Doncaster Sheffield (which serves another core city), throughput has risen from 800 to 4450 tonnes and from zero to nearly a thousand tonnes over the same time period. Perhaps most significant of all, in the context of the report’s core focus on maximising economic benefits for Wales, CIA has only four business destinations with a market of over 10,000 passengers Amsterdam, Dublin, Edinburgh and Glasgow); Newcastle has 15 (2 of them long haul), Bristol 27. CIA’s domestic network is particularly small, carrying only 160,000 passengers compared with 1.1mppa Bristol and Newcastle.

The only conclusion that can be drawn from this kind of analysis is that between 2007-13 CIA underperformed significantly relative to other regional airports. This is certainly the case in the context of a regional aviation market, which has seen demand for air services recovering and many regional airports clawing back traffic originating in their own area, from busy South East airports. The reasons for this will be multi-faceted but will include some or all of the following:

- **Pricing** (in particular the desire to maintain high margins on charter traffic and not cut charges to attract a replacement low cost carrier when Bmi Baby failed);

- **The limited range of destinations available**, which results in potential customers getting used to looking elsewhere for the services they are seeking;

- **The absence of service frequency** to non-London hubs to facilitate effective ‘one stop’ onward connections (Amsterdam excepted);

- **Insufficient resources committed** to marketing and awareness raising locally - Newcastle Airport and its Development Agency established websites to sell the region to New Zealand and Australia and highlight the opportunities to local businesses and holiday makers of using its Emirates service, which has been hugely successful;
• **The quality and competitiveness of the overall airport offer** - it is no surprise that the 2015 Which? Survey (Which, 2015), gives Cardiff a 63% satisfaction rating, in the bottom third of airports with throughputs of less than 4mppa and lower than the much bigger Newcastle (72%), which has invested heavily in state of the art security systems and excellent airside facilities for passengers, and Liverpool (64%); and

• **The Commitment and competence of the airport’s former owners and management**, which in the case of Abertis, following the recession and associated decline in passengers and profitability, was virtually non-existent with little or no investment and the arrival and departure of a succession of Managing Directors, none of whom could ‘stop the rot’.

The continued commitment of Vueling and the arrival of two Flybe based aircraft provide the basis for optimism that CIA has turned a corner in terms of passenger growth and network expansion (Wales Online, 2015). It is extremely unlikely that this would have been achieved under Abertis’ tenure, or indeed that of any another private owner. This is not uncommon for privately owned smaller airports of less than 2mppa in the UK (and elsewhere in Europe), that are heavily dependent on aeronautical charges for revenue generation and hence ultimately commercial performance. Plymouth, Blackpool, Manston, Galway and Sligo in Ireland and Lubeck and Lahr in Germany, Teruel, Don Quixote and Castellón–Costa Azahar in Spain, all privately owned, have all closed since the economic recession began in 2008 for this reason.

Hence a great deal more will need to be done, and more importantly invested, to restore Cardiff to a competitive position where it is capable of attracting the 2-3mppa its catchment population should be capable of supporting. In Europe, the role of national, regional or city authorities in supporting the operation and development of smaller airports is well recognised (e.g. HIAL - Highlands and Islands Airports in Scotland and Isavia - the national airport and air navigation service provider of Iceland), as it is in North America - although different business models are used. Long term commercial stability is more easily and transparently achieved when the core assets of a small airport are in the ownership of a strong public authority, especially if substantive investment is required (EU, 2014). Airport operations are sometimes outsourced as management contracts or concessions to private companies (Regional and City Airports specialise in this in the UK). Very often they are retained in-house and funded through cross-subsidies associated with military operations or

---

6 The latest CAA data suggest that some 3.6m passengers per annum originate from Cardiff’s core catchment area in South Wales.
ownership by a larger group (as in the case of Avinor, Swedavia, Finavia, Aena). Alternatively, and perhaps optimally they are handed over to professional management teams recruited by independent Boards appointed to oversee airport governance by public authorities that continue to own and make strategic investments in the assets. This has been the model successfully applied at Trust and Regional Airports in Canada, Shannon in Ireland, Newquay in Cornwall and is now being planned for Glasgow Prestwick airport by Transport Scotland.

In terms of non-passenger aeronautical activity, however, the story of Cardiff Capital Region’s airport’s is rather more positive:

- Cardiff and St Athan have between them attracted additional GA activity (including Bristow’s Air Sea Rescue base for the Severn Estuary, South Wales and North Devon Coast and increased military use);
- British Airways has re-committed to BAMC (Wales Online, 2015) and Cardiff Aviation and e-Cube have established themselves at St Athan; and
- The Welsh Government’s AM&M team has been successful in finding tenants for all the other lettable properties at St Athan – the Superhanger excluded (although that may also be put to productive economic use if Aston Martin do decide to move their operations there), and in so doing creating 200 new jobs.

This serves to demonstrate what might be achievable with a well-structured, co-ordinated and resourced programme of developed focused on the airport enterprise zone. Models of what might be possible can be found in:

- Scotland – where the majority of the country’s 10-14,000 aviation and aerospace jobs (depending on what is included) are to be found in the ‘aerospace corridor between Glasgow Prestwick and Glasgow International airports.
- The Aerospace Valley centred on Toulouse (500 companies supporting 120,000 jobs); and
- Aero-Montreal (approaching 50,000 jobs in the logistics and aerospace sectors) concentrated around the cities three airports.
Sectors that will benefit from investment at St Athan and Cardiff Airports

In a Welsh context, the key to maximising economic benefits from core airport ‘operations’ (i.e. scheduled passenger services), is to ensure that measures are put in place to claw back as much of the traffic leaking from its core South Wales catchment area as reasonably practicable whilst pro-actively developing non-passenger dependent aeronautical activities at both Cardiff International and St Athan airports. The potential means to achieve both objectives are set out in subsequent sections of this report.

According to an accepted rule of thumb, first set out by ACI in 2004 (Airports Council International Europe and York Aviation, 2004) and affirmed by Zak and Getzner (2014) and York Aviation (2012), adding a million passengers to CIA’s throughput should create around a thousand new jobs in total (i.e. direct, indirect and induced). That ratio will slowly deteriorate as passenger throughput increases and productivity efficiencies in airport operations are captured. If a throughput of 3mppa could be achieved at CIA over the next 5-10 years then direct jobs associated with the airport’s operation might be rise to 2,250-2,500. If BAMC are included close to 3,000 jobs would be based at the airport with total jobs (i.e. including indirect and induced employment) generated by the airport nearer 4,500 – nearly 1,850 more than currently.

The extent of job creation and GVA arising from non-passenger aviation related activities at Cardiff and St Athan depends on the type of development that can be captured within their boundaries and the extent to which existing buildings and land at St Athan remain available for non-military uses requiring airside access. Some aerospace activities can be relatively intensive in terms of job creation (e.g. the 800 jobs supported by BAMC and the 300 Cardiff Aviation ultimately hopes to employ in the Pinnacle hangers). Others (e.g. flight testing and aircraft recycling) may require far less manpower but still need significant movement capacity and ground footprint respectively. Given the scale of the opportunity the airports together provide, the target in the EZ Strategic Development Framework (Welsh Government, 2015B) of establishing 4,000 new non-aeronautical jobs at the two airports does not look unrealistic in the longer term. Indeed Mirabel in Montreal has this number in aerospace and aviation logistics already and still has plenty of room to grow.

In combination with BAMC and passenger service related jobs that would make a total of 7,500 jobs based at the two airports and over 10,000 in the wider Welsh economy as a
whole once they are fully developed. If the ‘gateway’ site adjacent to CIA were also to be developed then the equivalent numbers could potentially rise to between 10,000-12,500 and 15,000-18,500 respectively. Looking separately at wider catalytic benefits (i.e. those not accounted for in the figures above) associated with air transport, these can also be material and will primarily arise in sectors that have high propensities to use aviation and are international and collaborative in outlook.

### Table 1: Sector analysis: Propensity to fly

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic Sectors in Wales</th>
<th>Propensity to Fly (Pax)</th>
<th>Propensity to fly (Air Cargo)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Priority Sectors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM&amp;M</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Sciences</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional &amp; Finance</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Media &amp; Creative</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental &amp; Renewables</td>
<td>✗ ✗</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICT</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Sectors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Manufacturing</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>✗ ✗</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Sector</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tertiary Education</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism (Domestic)</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✗ ✗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism (International)</td>
<td>✓ ✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Events, Conventions, Conferences</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail</td>
<td>✗ ✗</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>✗ ✗</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Northpoint Aviation

Of the six priority sectors identified in the Welsh national Economic Renewal strategy (Welsh Government, 2010), as Table 1 highlights, it is the Advanced Materials & Manufacturing (and particularly the aerospace sub-sector clustered in South Wales), Life Sciences and Financial and Professional Services that are the most likely to benefit from enhanced connectivity associated with new route development and the restoration of some form of air cargo capability at CIA. Since two of the other three priority sectors (i.e. ICT and Media & the Creative Industries) are also likely to derive some benefit, it emphasises the importance of the first of the five strategic cross-cutting themes set out in the 2010 Economic Renewal document, namely “Investing in high quality and sustainable infrastructure” (Welsh Government, 2010).
The high priority sectors were chosen in 2010 based on the fact they had demonstrated above average growth at the UK level, were projected to perform well into the future and therefore are of significant importance to Wales in terms of employment. Between them they make-up almost one third of private sector employers in Wales, and companies with a high propensity to fly make up a significant sub-set of that share.

However, there is also potential for other sectors, not designated as priorities for pro-active assistance to stimulate wider economic growth in Wales, to benefit materially from aviation, notably:

- Tourism – particularly international visitors but also domestic and business tourism (i.e. events, conferences and conventions which Cardiff City Council has been keen to support the development of a purpose-built facility to attract);
- The tertiary education sector (i.e. universities, colleges and foreign language schools);
- Public service (i.e. UK Government agencies, the Welsh Government, the NHS and to a more modest extent local government).

### Advanced Manufacturing and Materials (Aerospace)

With around 3,000 companies, 100,000 employees, pay scales 40 per cent above the national average, turnover of £22.2 billion and a global market share of 6.2% (second only to the US), aerospace is one of the UK’s most successful industries. The UK has been highly attractive as an inward investment location for this sector, with many of the world’s leading players (e.g. EADS, Finmeccanica, Bombardier, Augusta Westland and General Electric) represented here. The UK also has significant outward foreign direct investment (FDI) in aerospace, especially in the US, UAE, France, India and Poland.

Within the UK, there are a number of significant aerospace clusters and one of the most important of these is in Wales, where the industry employs more than 23,000 people in 160 companies that between them have a combined turnover of £5bn. Six of the world's top ten aerospace/defence firms have bases in Wales and the sector is undoubtedly a very important one for the Welsh economy, particularly as it is engaged in complex overlapping activities from aircraft manufacture (AM), through maintenance, repair and overhaul (MRO), to research, development and training (RDT) (Clifton et al., 2011). Whilst aircraft manufacturing is predominantly concentrated in North Wales around Airbus’ operation at
Hawarden, South Wales is stronger in MRO and RDT (see Figure 8) with BAMC and GE at Nantgarw leading the way.

**Figure 8: Geography of the Aerospace Sector in Wales**

Source: Welsh Government AM&M Brochure

British Airways is especially committed to Wales, with three dedicated MRO facilities across a 50 km radius in South Wales:

- **BA Avionics** - end-to-end maintenance of electrical, electronic and electro-mechanical components;
- **BA Interiors** - advanced maintenance works on cabin interiors and safety equipment; and
- **British Airways Maintenance Cardiff (BAMC)** - handles major maintenance (i.e. C & D Checks) for BA’s entire long haul fleet.

Set in one of the biggest facilities of its kind, at BAMC expert teams overhaul, repair, modify, test and inspect BA’s Boeing 747, 767 and B777 aircraft. On 20th October (Wales Online, 2015) BA announced that from 2016, work on the new Boeing 787 Dreamliner would also be based at Cardiff Airport alongside work on the more traditional aircraft, which will continue -
in some cases for the next 10 to 15 years. Because of the critical mass created by the South Wales aerospace cluster, the university sector in South Wales has also developed world-class training, innovation and expertise to serve it. Academics work hand-in-glove with the sector, aligning commercial focus with technical expertise, with the result that R&D projects are underway at institutions throughout Wales. Some examples are described in Box 3.

**Box 3: Universities in South Wales Supporting the Aerospace Sector**

**Cardiff University**: The Morgan-Botti Lightning Laboratory is tackling the use of composites within the mechanical structure (i.e. airframe) of an aircraft and is Europe’s only University laboratory focusing on research into lightning protection for aircraft, while the Gas Turbine Research Centre conducts research to improve gas turbine design.

**Swansea University**: The Materials Research Centre in the School of Engineering is home to the Rolls-Royce University Technology Centre, joint funded with the Physical Science Research Council to the tune of £50m for research into structural materials and training. The Welsh Composites Centre offers materials testing and characterisation, design and structural modelling, materials and process selection and new product development. The Civil and Computational Engineering Centre is undertaking pioneering work in finite element analysis and is a leading research group in computational methods, working with Airbus (and Land Rover).

**University of South Wales**: The University teaches Aeronautical Engineering and Avionics, and is British Airways partner in delivering a BSc Honours degree in Aircraft Maintenance Engineering that includes EASA accreditation under BA’s EASA license; it works closely with GE Aviation to provide graduate skills.

**The Cardiff and Vale College International Centre for Aerospace Training (ICAT)**: is an approved Part-147 training centre and as such can set Part-66 examinations. ICAT has developed new course materials online and in textbook formats to support the study of the Part-66 modules.

Source: Summary by Northpoint Aviation

The above notwithstanding, Clifton et al.’s (2011) very thorough analysis of the sector provides a useful cautionary note. They state that in addition to the many strengths of the Welsh aerospace cluster it should also be recognised that: “….. there are key inter-linkages that need consideration if the long-term health of Welsh aerospace is to be secured.

Simultaneously, all three sub-sectors in Wales exhibit weaknesses, which could render them vulnerable to greater external competition, particularly from developing countries” (Clifton et al., 2011, Abstract).

To address these issues they advocated: “….. a need to alter the current governance arrangements and utilize different clustering characteristics that [already] exist, [but] with government, as a major sponsor of the industry, having a strong ….. role to play in encouraging ….. more balanced industry structures and decision-making processes” (Clifton et al. 2011, Abstract).
The acquisition of Cardiff and St Athan airfields and the creation of St Athan – Cardiff Airport Enterprise Zone for the aerospace and defence sectors by the Welsh Government is a major step in fulfilling this agenda:

- St Athan has been a centre of aeronautical excellence for decades and the site boasts a resurfaced 1,800m regulated runway with CAT1 ILS and full airfield support. Civilian and military projects work side-by-side here, including MRO, general aviation servicing, end of life solutions, rotary and pilot training. There is also 493 acres (200ha) of developable land; and

- At 2400m, Cardiff Airport has a longer runway and also land and facilities available for MRO and supply chain operators to complement BAMC.

**Life Sciences**

There are 12,000 students at Welsh Universities studying Life Sciences and Welsh researchers lead the world in areas like wound healing, stem cells, neurosciences, e-health, in vitro diagnostics, medical devices etc. This is reflected in the Research Excellence Framework (REF) for 2014, which found that more than 75% of the research submitted by universities in Wales to be world leading or internationally excellent. They also have excellent knowledge transfer and commercialisation capabilities and offer attractive partnering opportunities for Life Sciences businesses, with the additional benefit of potential to access considerable European funding and to the Welsh Government’s Sêr Cymru initiative. This seeks to use £50m of allocated funding to grow research excellence by attracting world-class researchers and their teams to Wales.

There are four main life sciences clusters:

- North Wales spread across an area from Llanberis (Siemens) to Wrexham and Deeside;

- Cardiff (based around the School of Biosciences at the University, the Medicentre incubator for biotech and medtech start-ups, the Life Sciences Hub Wales and GE Healthcare’s Innovation Village);

- Swansea focused on the Centre for Nanohealth and Institute of Life Sciences; and
• Satellites in Bridgend (Biomet UK, Ortho Clinical Diagnostics, Biotec Services International, a PCI Company) and the Valleys (Norgine Ltd, Convatec, Penn Pharma, a PCI Company).

Life Sciences is a sector where keeping abreast of the latest research elsewhere in the world is important and collaborating internationally is common. It is also a significant user of air cargo services because the high value to weight ratio of the sector’s products facilitates this. The UK centres for the sector are Cambridge, Oxford, London and Edinburgh and global examples include clusters in Boston and San Francisco. Access to direct and indirect air services is therefore important to meet the sector’s need to travel.

**Financial and Professional Services**

With 135,000 already employed and a target of 200,000 by 2021, Cardiff is not only the UK’s fastest growing Financial and Professional (F&P) Services centre outside London, but also has its own dedicated Enterprise Zone - the Central Cardiff Enterprise Zone (CCEZ), to create the optimum conditions to attract these kind of businesses and encourage them to succeed and grow. This initiative is supported by substantial investments in high quality office space, world-class data storage capacity, superfast broadband providing the same digital speeds as seen in London, a new internet exchange opened in 2014 and plans for a new central transport interchange around Cardiff Central station.


Financial and professional services typically have a high propensity to fly, especially if they have an international outlook or headquarters operations. For Cardiff to be able to continue to grow its F&P cluster, its excellent digital connectivity needs to be supported by enhanced transport connectivity, whether by addressing bottlenecks on the strategic road network (e.g. the M4), improving capacity and journey times on the rail network (particularly to London)
and perhaps most importantly the range of destinations and frequency served from Cardiff Airport rather than the much less convenient Bristol or London alternatives.

Tourism

Although not a priority sector for Welsh Government support, tourism is a major employer and generator of GVA in Wales. It is also an export industry with over 80% of visitor spending being non-Welsh in origin, which cumulatively constitutes an important source of export earnings for the Welsh economy. The Wales Tourism Satellite Accounts (TSA) estimates total tourism spending in 2011 of £4.5bn, representing a Tourism Gross Value Added (GVA) of £1.8bn – around 4.4% of total direct GVA for the Welsh economy (Welsh Economic Research Unit, 2011). Research suggests that when indirect impacts are added, the Tourism GVA increases to £2.5bn, which represents 6% of the whole economy (Welsh Government, 2013).

In terms of employment, TSA estimates that 8.3% (88,300) of all full time equivalent jobs in Wales are directly supported by tourism spending. With indirect (supply chain) jobs added, this total FTE employment rises to over 100,000, which is equivalent to around 9.5% of all Welsh FTE jobs. Oxford Economics (2012) estimated that the wider hospitality sector (hotel, restaurants and catering), in combination with the workforce employed directly in tourism constitutes 9.2% (126,000) of the Welsh workforce.

Inbound Tourism

All the evidence points to air passengers spending more per head than those travelling by surface modes (see Figure 9) and that those who fly direct to CIA (rather than via a non-Welsh airport), will spend more money in, rather than outside, Wales. At the moment the great majority of visitors to Wales are domestic in origin, and of those that do visit from outside the UK, many use airports outside Wales. This pattern needs to be addressed, and securing control of CIA was the first important step to doing so.

---

7 Domestic tourism accounts for 92% of all staying visitor trips and 84% of staying visitor spend; and Wales share of international trips to the UK is declining (from 3.6% in 2002 to 2.7% in 2012).
Outbound Tourism
It is not just the inbound visitors and their spending which is important to city, regional and national economies in the UK. In 2013, the Centre for Economic and Business Research (Cebr), in a study commissioned by ABTA (Centre for Economic and Business Research, 2013), found that outbound leisure travellers also underpin significant economic activity in each of the English regions and UK nations through their use of Travel Agents, bespoke holiday companies, UK based airlines, inclusive IT charter operators, comparison web sites and holiday related travel writing and publications. The study found that because of the relative size of their economies, Wales and the North East of England are particular beneficiaries. Indeed, Wales ranks highest in terms of relative GVA contribution at 1.5% of total economic activity and second in terms of jobs (at 1.3% of those in Wales). This suggests that better use of CIA by the indigenous population of South Wales when booking their holidays would be beneficial to the Welsh economy, as opposed to that of Greater London or the South West.

Business Tourism: Major Events, Conventions, Exhibitions, Conferences
Business tourism is an important sub set of the overall tourism market. It is particularly appealing because it attracts high spending visitors, typically out of the main season for leisure visitors (October to March). Business tourism therefore has a number of benefits to the economy including:

- High quality, high yield tourism;
- Year round activity creating permanent full-time employment;
Business tourism facilities lead to regeneration of urban and inner city areas and the related tourism infrastructure can also be used by leisure tourists and locals;

It is less affected by economic downturns and shocks than leisure tourism or other sectors; and

It stimulates future inward investment by creating a favourable impression in the minds of business tourism visitors (McNicoll, 2004).

In the UK as a whole, ‘business tourism’ expenditure is in the region of £15billion per annum and so is clearly of strategic significance, and although the Cardiff Capital Region benefits from a number of existing venues (see Box 4) that can host this kind of activity, it has ambitions to capture an even bigger share.

**Box 4: Major Events and Business Tourism Facilities in Cardiff**

- The Wales Millennium Stadium is a major international sports stadium that plays host to international rugby games, British speedway and international boxing bouts, in addition to some large-scale concerts.
- Wales Millennium Centre in Cardiff Bay is an important venue for concerts and the Performing Arts, as is St David’s Hall (which with a capacity of 1900 has also held political conferences and shareholders meetings) and the Royal Welsh College of Music and Drama.
- Motorpoint Cardiff International Arena is run by Live Nation and caters for pop concerts, pool and darts tournaments with audiences between 5,000 and 7,500 (and other events like beer festivals).
- Cardiff International Sports Stadium – is used for track & field competitions.

Source: Summary by Northpoint Aviation

There has been a long-running debate about whether Cardiff needs a larger purpose built convention and exhibition centre to put it on a par with London (Olympia and Excel), Glasgow (the SECC), Manchester (the Manchester Central Convention Centre - G Mex) and Birmingham (the NECC). A number of locations remain under consideration (central Cardiff, Cardiff Bay and the Celtic Manor near Newport), but their perceived viability is undermined in part by the absence of a major airport of the kind that all the other major venues in the UK have. Whilst the relationship would undoubtedly be symbiotic (i.e. a convention and exhibition centre would stimulate use of the airport and vice versa), with the current route network and passenger volumes it would be difficult for Cardiff to compete with these other venues at the present moment. Addressing these shortcomings at the airport would therefore provide a material boost for the convention and exhibition centre proposal too.
The Tertiary Education Sector

An often over looked economic relationship is that between aviation and the tertiary education sector. This is not just a function of university research being increasingly collaborative and international in scope, but also to the number of overseas students that the UK university sector attracts. The global education sector is the second largest, after healthcare, with global expenditure estimated at $4.5 trillion in 2012 by the World Economic Forum.

The UK has a strong global brand and a reputation for excellence in education, and generates exports worth £17.5 billion in the sector, over 75% of which are accounted for by international students studying in the UK (House of Commons Library Note, 2010). Both the UK and Welsh Governments are keen to capture a bigger share of this growing market.

Like the creative industries, where the UK also has a strong global presence, educational services is a sector in which the UK has significant advantages, most notably:

- English as the working language;
- The multicultural nature of the UK population;
- World renowned education and training institutions,
- Openness to foreign teachers and students;
- A strong pool of skilled labour, particularly in services;
- Good international travel connections; and
- Strong home-market demand for a wide variety of educational goods and services.

Against this background, it is perhaps no surprise that there are currently 12,000 international students at South Wales’ eight tertiary educational establishments. Of these, the largest group is Chinese (3000), with nearly 7,000 in total from the Indian sub-continent, Middle East and Asia. Europe is also a material source of students. Historically in the UK, foreign student numbers increase where improved connections are developed and it should be borne in mind that the majority of students will travel for Visiting Friends and Relatives (VFR) purposes at least once per year, often more. These trips are additional to ethnic populations living in Wales flying for VFR purposes. In the last census (2011), over 200,000 people with an ethnicity link were officially registered residing within the 90-minute drive radius of Cardiff Airport (Figure 10).
Developing a better network offering from Cardiff for these potential customers clearly represents a significant opportunity for the airport.

**Trade and Exports**

In 2009, China overtook Germany to become the largest exporter of goods with 9.6% of the global goods export market. Germany accounts for 9% of goods exports, the USA 8.5% and Japan 4.7%. The UK exports the 10th largest value of goods, accounting for 2.8% of the world total. In services, however, the USA leads with 14% of world exports, followed by the UK with 7.2% and Germany with 6.5%. Therefore at 41% in 2009 services account for a relatively large share of UK exports (Department for Business, Innovation and Skills, 2010). Since the services in which the UK has a prominent global position (financial services,
business services and tertiary education) are knowledge intensive, they have good potential for development as incomes in emerging economies grow. As service sectors tend to be more people intensive, they inevitably require more face-to-face time and hence the UK economy is inevitably more air dependent than most.

In Wales, exports remain significantly 'goods led', perhaps partially explaining the country's relatively low propensity to fly. However, as a more knowledge intensive economy develops in the Cardiff Capital Region, so enhanced aviation connectivity will become more important to service it. The key markets are shown in Table 2.

**Table 2: Top Export Countries from Wales 2014/15**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Over £100m/Qtr</th>
<th>Extant Air Links</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>£50-100/Qtr</th>
<th>Extant Air Links</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>£25-50m/Qtr</th>
<th>Extant Air Links</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Qatar</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Hong Kong</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>√</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Saudi Arabia</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Benelux</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>√</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: StatsWales and CAA Statistics

Identifying and Prioritising Strategic Initiatives

On the basis of the economic context provided above, this section identifies strategic initiatives that are likely to produce material direct 'operational’ or wider catalytic benefits to the economy of South Wales.

This chapter does not attempt to define a commercial strategy or business plan for the airport, because although optimising commercial revenues, profitability and/or asset values can be compatible with generating economic benefits (e.g. in the form of enhanced passenger networks and attracting other aeronautical activity to the sites), it is not always the case. Investment and maintenance strategies and the emphasis they place on asset condition can however divert resources that might otherwise be devoted to revenue enhancement or dividend payments.

The balance taken will therefore reflect shareholder priorities. In the case of Abertis it was to minimise investment and maximise short term revenues; for the Welsh Government once
breakeven is achieved, the focus is likely to be on investment and maximising wider economic outputs from what are two nationally important infrastructure assets.

Equally important, this report does not provide an aviation policy framework (those responsibilities have not yet been devolved) or a strategic development plan – the St Athan and Cardiff Airports Enterprise Zone Board published one of those earlier in the year (Welsh Government, 2015B) and consequently although it may require revisiting in places, it certainly does not require comprehensively re-inventing.

The focus here is on identifying existing initiatives that should be given the highest priority and new ones that have yet to be identified or adequately supported. The prioritisation of initiatives will inevitably be a function of a number of considerations – cost, risk, state aid implications, opportunity for securing partners, management resource required to ensure delivery, commercial benefits, political profile/sensitivity etc. To that list, this report adds the vitally important ‘maximisation of economic benefits’.

**Air Passenger Duty (APD)**

The February 2015 St David’s Day Agreement agreed that Whitehall should examine the case for devolving control over APD to the Welsh Government. The Scottish Government is understood to be committed to a 50% reduction in APD by 2018, and there is substantial evidence from elsewhere in Europe that any such cut is likely to stimulate demand for air travel, and encourage new service development by increasing the yield return prospectively available to airlines on under and un-served marginal routes.

For some airlines, such a move would not materially alter their approach to the South Wales market (e.g. easyJet and BA who are committed to other airports). Others that might be influenced include long haul carriers, for whom the tax is often a significant part of the ticket charges to the passenger, and Ryanair who have been known to respond strategically to a political initiative as significant as substantially reducing or eliminating APD. Indeed, they have done so at Shannon and Cork following the elimination of the travel tax in Ireland, while at Cornwall Airport in Newquay they quickly re-committed themselves to the airport once Cornwall Council announced its intention to withdraw the Airport Development Fee that had been in place since 2005 in 2016.

Unlike in Ireland, however, HM Treasury will not be willing to give up the tax revenues from APD. Therefore stimulating route development using this method will come at a cost to the
Welsh Government, and will need to be carefully weighed in the balance against their commitment to other aspects of the Enterprise Zone Strategic Development Plan. There will also be significant opposition from English airports located within striking distance of the Welsh Border and their supporters, who argue that they might be competitively disadvantaged by even carefully targeted reduction to the levy in Wales. Once the Chancellor has announced the decision of the APD review which began following the March 2015 Budget\(^8\), then the Welsh Government will need to carefully consider its options in the context of updated market analysis and detailed discussions with key airlines.

**Air Route Development**

In the absence of a generic stimulus to air travel demand such as a reduction in APD, there are several other options for interventions that can help to build network density and frequency, and therefore ultimately competitiveness for CIA, and enhanced connectivity for priority sectors and the business community in South Wales. These include:

- **A shareholder direction to the Board of CIA** to give priority to reducing aeronautical charges for new and enhanced route proposals that will result in additional year round and/or seasonal capacity, or improved service frequency, over other potential areas of expenditure or the short term retention of profit;

- **Destination marketing packages**, such as those used extensively by Team Scotland to attract new long haul routes and agreed with Flybe to encourage them to develop a new base at Cardiff;

- **A Welsh Route Development Fund**, similar to the schemes which worked successfully in Scotland and Northern Ireland between 2003-08, which could ‘piggy-back’ on the Department for Transport scheme approved by Brussels for the Regional Air Connectivity Fund (European Commission, 2015); and

- **PSOs** for a London hub connection, a route from West Wales to Cardiff and possibly also in international form secondary hubs willing to accept such a service.

The scale of investment required to secure the kind of air service network priority sectors of the Welsh economy need is likely to be substantial and entail a 5-7 year commitment. This is how long the Air Route Development Scheme in Scotland (Wilson, 2009) operated, before it made a significant dent in the connectivity gaps Scottish airports were suffering from in the early 2000’s. That said it did help the major cities in Scotland take a significant step forward.

---

\(^8\) Most probably in the forthcoming Autumn Statement
in terms of market presence and international connectivity and generate substantial economic returns.

**Target Destinations**

Based on the analysis in section 6, Table 3 summarises the kind of destination air service network that Cardiff Capital Region should be aspiring to by 2020-25. This would result in a passenger throughput of 3mppa or more at CIA, at which point it could become entirely self-sustaining (i.e. capable of raising and servicing its own debt) and worth substantially more than the Welsh Government paid Abertis for it.

These priorities, with the exception of a Heathrow shuttle, exclude other domestic and outbound leisure targets that should be capable of being developed based on generic airport new route discounts alone, although in terms of passenger volumes and airport finance they are likely to remain significant. However, if the key objective of the other potential incentive schemes is to maximise wider economic benefits, given the principal Welsh export markets identified in Table 2, the list of countries with firms represented in Wales in Table 4, the country of origin ties of students and ethnic minorities in Cardiff and the priority given to North America, Germany and Ireland as target international markets for Visit Wales, the list looks comprehensive, even for a ten year time horizon. The most pressing targets should therefore be:

a. To improve frequency year round and across the day on the existing Paris and Dublin routes to optimise the potential for interline connections;

b. To target other significant near European hubs (e.g. Dusseldorf/Frankfurt and Copenhagen) and then selectively a series of major business centres such as Toulouse/Hamburg (both major aerospace clusters) and other important, German speaking and twinned cities/regions (e.g. Berlin, Stuttgart, Zurich and Nantes);

c. A North American East Coast hub like New York (Newark) in the USA or Halifax/Montreal/Toronto for access to Canada (Westjet); and

d. A Middle East hub route such as Dubai (Emirates), Abu Dhabi (Etihad) or perhaps most promisingly Istanbul (Turkish Airlines) for onward connections to the Indian sub-continent, China and the rest of Asia.

Source: Northpoint Aviation
Source: Northpoint Aviation
### Table 3: Route Development Priorities for Cardiff Airport

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Market</th>
<th>Target Destination</th>
<th>Carrier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long Haul:</strong></td>
<td>Destination Marketing, Discount ChargesCD</td>
<td>Emirates, Etihad, Qatar, Turkish, Pegasus, 5&lt;sup&gt;th&lt;/sup&gt; Freedom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Priority</strong></td>
<td><strong>Possibility</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East Big Four (Emirates, Etihad, Qatar and Turkish) 4</td>
<td>Dubai, Abu Dhabi, Istanbul,</td>
<td>Doha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>NE USA Coast, via Dublin, Toronto</td>
<td>Chicago, Atlanta, Canadian East Coast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hubs (Network)</strong></td>
<td>Public Service Obligations, Route Development Funds</td>
<td>KLM, Flybe, Aer Lingus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Priority</strong></td>
<td><strong>Possibility</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased existing hub Frequency</td>
<td>Paris, Dublin</td>
<td>Amsterdam, Munich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Hub Targets</strong></td>
<td><strong>Priority</strong></td>
<td><strong>Possibility</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heathrow, Frankfurt, Copenhagen</td>
<td>Dusseldorf, Rome, Iceland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Short Haul</strong></td>
<td>RDF</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Business Routes</strong></td>
<td><strong>Priority</strong></td>
<td><strong>Possibility</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inbound Charter</strong></td>
<td><strong>Priority</strong></td>
<td><strong>Possibility</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Germany, Switzerland, Austria, Scandinavia</td>
<td>Canada, USA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Northpoint Aviation

Inbound tourism from top targets Germany, Ireland and North America will benefit materially from (b) and (c) routes, while the scope for accessing specialist secondary hubs such as Helsinki (for the Far East), Brussels (for Europe and Africa), and Milan or Rome (for
southern Europe) could then be explored using a variety of mechanisms, including - if the destination members state were co-operative – international PSOs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Investments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Welsh Development Agency

**Heathrow Shuttle**

In the medium to long term, if a new runway is built at Heathrow, the highest priority should also be given to PSO to ring-fence slots to support a regular air shuttle to what would then become Europe’s principal global hub. A Q400 or Embraer 175/195 aircraft would provide perfect equipment for such a service and a number of parties are known to be looking at RAF Northolt as an interim facility whilst a third runway is developed.

An initiative of this kind, is not incompatible with an electrified Great Western Main Line, because the latter would primarily serve point to point traffic to central London, whilst most passengers on the former would either be interlining onto international services to destinations not served direct from Cardiff, or heading to destinations West of London along the M4 and M25 corridors.

By offering competitively priced parking adjacent to the terminal, a 30 minute express check-in, a 45 minute flight and 45 minute minimum connect time, Cardiff Capital Region passengers could be on connecting flights in just over two hours. Moreover, they would have the reassurance of being in the airline system from the moment of check-in at CIA, rather than be subject to the risk of falling foul of the vagaries of the railway system or M4/M25 traffic in making their check-in times in London. Furthermore, they would not have the inconvenience of having to carry heavy luggage around with them. Once contingencies for such eventualities are factored in, the access time to Heathrow by surface mode is likely to be double that of a flight connection, and if correctly priced potentially more cost effective.
Taking Forward the Route Development Agenda

To take forward this route development agenda, the relative merits and affordability of the other type of route development schemes listed above will need further investigation (a) to determine which schemes would be most suitable for achieving which priorities, and (b) to ensure they are properly formulated and appropriately managed having regard to commercial and state aid issues.

Specialist experts with in-depth knowledge of how to structure and secure state aid approval from the EU/DfT for these schemes and then provide independent oversight of their use reporting to the Airport holding company will be needed. These in turn will then need to be audited to verify value for money has been achieved from the different schemes.

Tourism Opportunities

In line with recent UN inter-Governmental pronouncements by ICAO and the UNWTO, affirming that the formulation of policies to increase air connectivity is a key catalyst in promoting sustainable tourism and economic development, the Welsh Government’s ambition is to grow tourism earnings in Wales by 10% or more by 2020 (Welsh Government, 2013). To achieve real growth in tourism earnings of 10% will not be easy as that equates to 28% more visitors. With the main focus of Visit Wales on the UK domestic market which supplies the great majority of visitor to Wales and the Irish market well served by ferries from Swansea and Holyhead, expanding the range of destinations served by CIA probably holds the key to increasing the number of overseas tourists to Wales. Therein lies a “catch 22” as the airport will need the support of Visit Wales to persuade carriers to serve routes from inbound markets.

The ‘Team Scotland’ approach to air service development adopted by Holyrood⁹ has proven very successful and offers a model for Wales to follow. However, it would require close working between CIA, Visit Wales, the wider Welsh Government and Cardiff Capital Region, and there has been some suggestion from the documents that have been researched and the discussions that were held during the preparation of this report that this has not always been forthcoming amongst the first two of these parties. Indeed, Visit Wales admit there are:

“still significant barriers constraining growth including low visibility and lack of awareness amongst consumers, media and the travel trade of the Wales brand and tourism offering,

---

⁹ Team Scotland in this context comprises: Transport Scotland, Scottish Enterprise, Highlands and Islands Enterprise, Visit Scotland and relevant Scottish airports.
limited connectivity and air capacity and lack of priority by the tourism industry and travel trade in promoting Wales in international markets” (Welsh Government, 2013B).

Clearly such problems will need to be tackled urgently if full value is to be secured from any route development and marketing investments associated with the airport. Wales should be aiming to benefit from the forecast growth in international visitors to the UK in the coming years (Welsh Government, 2013B) and this should certainly be one of the key targets for any airport related route development initiatives.

More widely, with the sporting and concert venues South Wales is already attracting significant events, and the airport is benefitting significantly when they do so, there are therefore understandable ambitions from the Board of Cardiff Capital Region to:

- Attract major sporting events like the Ryder Cup in 2010 and Rugby World Cup that the Millennium Stadium has just recently co-hosted, and looking forward a Champions League or Europa Cup final, further Test Matches and One Day Internationals and in the longer term the 2026 Commonwealth Games;
- Expand cultural and music festivals using the excellent concert venues the city already has; and
- Compete with peer cities like Birmingham, Manchester and Glasgow for major exhibitions, trade shows and the international convention market.

It is not this report’s task to resolve the convention and exhibition centre debate in South Wales, or to comment on the competing claims of a Cardiff or Celtic Manor scheme, but it is important to flag up that were such a project to eventually see the light of day, it would undoubtedly be a boon to the airport as well as having a positive impact on other initiatives in the region.

**Air Cargo**

Section 4 highlighted the dramatic drop off in air cargo volumes at CIA under Abertis’ stewardship. The exact cause of this is far from clear and any attempt to resuscitate a cargo business from the airport will require significant groundwork to be done in the form of:

- A thorough analysis of the extent of air freight leakage from Wales – the country’s geography relative to the rest of the UK points to the market being primarily local;
- Gaining a sound understanding of the reason for that leakage from consignees and the air freight sector;
- Establishing the scale of business that could be supported at CIA and in what form; and
What incentives and facilities are needed to secure commitment from the correct airline and brokerage partners.

Table 5: Air Cargo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Air Cargo</th>
<th>Carrier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bellyhold [Mixed cargo]</td>
<td>Network Carrier; Flybe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Express Freight [Parcels, small high value goods]</td>
<td>DHL, Fedex, UPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dedicated Freight [Perishables, Larger items, Special Cargos, Non-Express Freight]</td>
<td>BA Cargo, Cargolux etc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mail [Parcels, letters]</td>
<td>Royal Mail, Channel Express, Jet 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Northpoint Aviation

Table 5 provides an overview of the different kinds of air cargo operating in the UK. Judging by the type of cargo operations to be found at the airports serving peer cities like Newcastle, Sheffield and Glasgow, Cardiff might expect to attract a small mail operation, occasional dedicated cargo aircraft and possibly an express freight hub feed if sufficient consignment demand can be identified. There is also no reason in principal why a route development fund could not help to support the establishment of this or regularly scheduled dedicated freighter operation. However, the best prospects undoubtedly lie with attracting a long haul carrier for whom air cargo would almost certainly be an important component of the business model for such a route from Cardiff. Glasgow and Newcastle have certainly seen significant increases in ‘flown tonnages’ with the arrival of Middle Eastern and US network carriers.

That said, with Heathrow only three hours trucking time away, developing any such operation is likely to be a challenge, so achieving throughput around the 5,000 tonne mark is likely to be the extent of any realistic expectations in the medium term, although in terms of value this would equate to 50,000 passengers and offer local firms exceptional convenience with evening collection times and early morning deliveries.
Other Aeronautical Activities

Most airports of CIA and St Athan’s size are able to attract a range of non-passenger related activities, and are pro-active in doing so, not just to generate additional revenue (although this is a major driver), but also to diversify income streams, make more productive use of assets and keep the airport’s workforce productive and trained. Table 6 identifies a range of activities that fall into this category (some of which the two airports are already pursuing) and the airfield in the Enterprise Zone that is best suited to accommodating each.

Table 6: Specified Base for Aeronautical Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aeronautical Activity</th>
<th>Most Suitable Base in Enterprise Zone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Passenger traffic</td>
<td>CIAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Cargo</td>
<td>CIAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversions</td>
<td>Both (Depends on runway needed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Military</td>
<td>St Athan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aid flights - emergency reaction centres and storage facilities</td>
<td>St Athan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Aviation - FBO</td>
<td>CIAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Services - Coastguard, Fisheries protection, Air Ambulance, Police, Search &amp; Rescue</td>
<td>CIAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flight testing, training</td>
<td>St Athan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Aviation/Airpark</td>
<td>St Athan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aircraft parking/storage</td>
<td>St Athan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRO – C+D Checks, Conversions, Painting</td>
<td>Both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Show, Races, Museum, Pleasure Flights</td>
<td>Both</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UAV, Air Sports</td>
<td>Neither</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Northpoint Aviation

This distribution of activities will also be important in the context of minimising operating costs by making the most efficient use of both sites and seeking cross-airport cost savings in
a number of important budget areas. A summary of what might ultimately be achieved is set out in Table 7, which also indicates:

- Where joint operations should be considered and where the scope for efficiencies may only run to integrated rosters; and
- How in contractual terms, each functional area is likely to be most cost-effectively provided, although this needs to be subject to more in-depth management consideration and in some cases market testing.

**Table 7: Scope for Joint Operations at Cardiff-St Athan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Services</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Delivery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ATC</td>
<td>Joint</td>
<td>In-house – External</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFFS</td>
<td>Rostering</td>
<td>In-house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car Parking &amp; Transport</td>
<td>Joint</td>
<td>In-house</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security</td>
<td>Rostering</td>
<td>Outsource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Border Force</td>
<td>Joint</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Management</td>
<td>Joint</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airside Maintenance (inc Birds)</td>
<td>Joint</td>
<td>In-house – Possible External</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landside Maintenance (inc waste, cleaning)</td>
<td>Rostering</td>
<td>Outsource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fuel</td>
<td>Rostering</td>
<td>In-house on site – Outsource supply</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Northpoint Aviation Consultants

The latter includes quite a range of options from marketing in-house services to third parties to help cover some of the costs of the local service (as in the case of ATC and airside maintenance) to outsourcing security and landside maintenance and retaining control over fuel and car-parking pricing.

**Air Traffic Control (ATC)**

One area, where there are potentially major operating savings to be made, (as it typically accounts for 20-25% of small airport operating costs) is through a comprehensive restructuring of the air traffic management services Enterprise Zone. This would play to Wales’ sectoral strength – there are a lot of major companies who sell ATM (air traffic management) equipment and services with bases in Wales - and prospectively offer
opportunities for achieving even larger economies of scale by progressively offering remote ATC further afield. The focus will need to be on examining the scope for:

- The creation of a single centre for en route and vectoring traffic in South Wales (and potentially, ultimately, the whole of the South West of the UK); and

- The introduction of remote approach towers, first at St Athan, and then rolled out elsewhere in Wales and the South West.

The Airfield at St Athan, as part of the Enterprise Zone, operates on a five-day working week, generally daylight hours only. A significant cost of this operation is the provision of Air Traffic Services (ATS) of circa £300K, yet the aviation demand for these services is not high. Presently there are six aircraft resident at the unit, whilst demand is increasing with growing MRO activities; the forecast impact is likely to be minimal from a number perspective. This does not diminish the inherent safety considerations and complexities of a joint military/civil operation but an innovative and more cost-effective approach to the provision of ATS is needed. While the provision of basic ATS significantly enhances the offering of an individual airfield, the required levels of communication – both radio and landline, surveillance radar and instruments approach systems depends upon the volume of traffic and method and can generate a huge and disproportionate cost to the operation of the airfield. However, emerging and proven technology is now market ready that could allow this to be applied not only to St Athan, but throughout Wales. Indeed, Saab already has live operations in Sweden, SESAR, Horizon 2020 and other EU programmes are looking to champion such innovations and there are potential private partners based or operating in Wales that could become technical partners (e.g. Thales, Raytheon) to such an initiative.

Since ATC services typically make up 20-25% of a small airport's operating budget, the prospective benefits for Cardiff and St Athan’s bottom line and would be significant as would the wider economic benefits of becoming a major ATC centre in the UK, in the same way that the location of the Oceanic Centre at Prestwick Airport generated substantial benefits for Ayrshire. Keith Thomas has outlined some initial thinking on the proposition in a recently prepared paper (Thomas, 2015) and a Northern Periphery Area sponsored ERDF project, SPARA 2020, is examining the scope for roll out of the Saab technology in remote environments.

Top priority should be given to capturing the opportunities around St Athan and UAV developments in Cardigan Bay, before rolling out the system further afield in mid and north Wales and the near South West of England. In so doing, a single, coherent and cost-
effective ATS service could be delivered that would put Wales at the forefront of what globally is an emerging technological revolution. This certainly merits further investigation, and external funding can potentially be sought to help to do so.

**Aerospace**

The UK has been highly attractive as an inward investment location for the aerospace sector for some considerable time. As it generates UK-based turnover of £22.2 billion (17 per cent of the global market), and there are currently a pipeline of new orders worth £32.2 billion, the UK Government is anxious it should remain so. The sector is characterised by long development lead times, programme lifecycles and technological complexity, which can act as barriers to entry for competitors, making the UK’s established position even more significant. There is however, known to be high levels of state investment in the sector in China, Japan and France, amongst others, that could challenge this if the UK sector does not remain alert and at the forefront of new developments.

Wales is fortunate in being a significant player in the UK aerospace scene as outlined earlier, in terms of the Airbus related cluster in North Wales, UAV operations in West Wales and the MRO focused cluster centred on the Cardiff Airport - St Athan Enterprise Zone, where BAMC, Cardiff Aviation and ITAC are key players with strong links to GE at Nantgarw. Monarch Engineering at Birmingham and Flybe at Exeter have shown that new narrow-body and regional aircraft MRO facilities in the UK can compete for third party work against lower cost centres in Eastern Europe if well planned and efficient. There are also opportunities in the foreseeable future that are likely to involve developing new modern facilities, built specifically for an airline or Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM), and others that will focus on more sophisticated technology driven approaches to aircraft recycling – itself likely to become a major market over the next 5 years.

At Cardiff - St Athan this points to the need for ‘state of the art’ commercial facilities offering a fully serviced site with good access and low operational costs; expanded training facilities, possibly in the form of an expanded ICAT, combined with a dedicated sectoral research centre to create a Fraunhofer style aerospace institute co funded by public and private investment. This could be a joint venture between the existing tertiary education establishments specialising in the field in South Wales, or might involve reaching out to a specialist North American partner like MIT, Embry-Riddell or Georgia Tech, to help secure stronger ties with the many US-headquartered aerospace companies with a presence in Wales.
Between them CIA and St Athan have plenty of capacity for incremental development with a footprint of between 20-50,000 sq ft, but plots with good runway access capable of accommodating a 200-250,000 sq ft building (roughly the size of BAMC now), though the supporting apron, parking, storage and engine testing space are far more limited. In order to attract an airline, an OEM of another of the bigger third party MRO suppliers (such as Lufthansa Technic, SR Technics, ST Aerospace or United Technologies Corporation), to develop a new facility size matters, as typically 500,000 bookable man/hrs are needed for an MRO facility to be commercially viable and that would normally imply a minimum of three bays.

**Figure 11: Land within the CIA part of the Enterprise Zone**

![Image of land within the CIA part of the Enterprise Zone]


Notes: Red is CIA, Blue Legal & General and Yellow West Glamorgan Council

Topographical and radar constraints, noise, visual impact and traffic issues make it difficult to identify a suitable location for such large scale facility at CIA, unless a new taxiway is built to the Legal and General land outside the existing airport boundary (see Figure 11) or land abutting the south west of the airfield but north of Rhoose (which appears flat but is also land-locked from any significant road access), is acquired. In both cases the land in question would appear far better suited to other uses and developing large scale MRO facilities on it would create major dissonance with established or proposed land uses next or close to it, namely a new commercial quarter north-east of the airport and residential development associated with the expansion of Rhoose, respectively.
Conversely, St Athan appears to have plenty of scope in two different locations within its boundaries to build MRO facilities with double the target floor area for a major MRO operation, with significant land to spare (see Figure 12).

Figure 12: Opportunity Sites at St Athan

A capacity study is urgently needed to confirm these initial conclusions (which are based on site visits, scrutiny of maps and discussions with relevant AM&M officials), and set a firm framework of parameters for future master planning exercises. But whichever way forward is agreed, the Enterprise Zone does seem to be in a strong position to respond to any foreseeable market requirement if St Athan is retained.

Turning from supply side to potential market demand for such facilities in Wales, the MRO Report prepared by Aerospace Wales in 2014 (Aerospace Wales, 2014), provides a useful top line overview of the MRO market in Europe and some of the key dynamics within the sector. There is broad consensus that the size of the global MRO market is currently around $55 billion and will increase steadily at the rate of between 3% and 5% per annum.
However, the 2014 analysis is certainly not an in-depth market study identifying current or prospective requirements that would consider South Wales, nor does it articulate a marketing profile or selling proposition that would pro-actively help to attract them. In what is a complicated and highly politicised sector, developing a route map that will allow the Welsh Government and Cardiff Capital City region to develop a clear understanding of who to target, how to go about it and what is needed to be competitive in a crowded market-place, must be a top priority. Having established a coherent market focused strategy, a change of culture will be required amongst the team tasked with delivering it, with less emphasis on passive marketing (i.e. attending trade shows and responding to inquiries) and more resources provided for:

- Pro-active marketing and project initiation;
- Strong industry contact networks;
- Up to date intelligence;
- A clearly defined price and quality offer; and
- Experienced and results driven account managers to develop and pursue any opportunities identified.

**Aerospace Sub Sectors**

Good examples of this type of opportunity would be firms supplying specialist non-MRO parts of the aerospace value chain, such as:

- Aircraft recycling – perhaps working with incumbent partners such as e-cube and GE, and new external partners like Constellium or Alcoa, the Aerospace Technology Institute (the sectoral catapult centre for aviation) and ‘circular economy’ experts;
- Paint spray facilities – a suitable site has been identified on St Athan;
- Conversions, avionics systems and interiors – in the form of specialist sub-contractors to the main OEM and MRO operators;
- Business as well as commercial jets – there are current interests in the market; and
- Light aircraft maintenance, restoration or replicas – all of which already have a representation at St Athan.

The idea of a paint-shop development is a good example of an idea/opportunity which an initial study by Arups suggested was feasible, but has then got stuck through the absence of project champion, real time market intelligence and difficulty in identifying funding, because
capital investment in large buildings was seen as off the agenda. The estimated cost of a
paint-shop was put at around £20-£30 million to build but the economic return (60-100
permanent jobs) was seen as poor making it difficult for the Welsh Government to proceed
speculatively in the absence of any broader rationale, such as concrete demand from BAMC
or a pre-condition to a new MRO provider locating in the Enterprise Zone.

Clearly, given the current state of play, there is the need for greater understanding of what
the current paint-shop market looks like and whether there may be a future for Cardiff in that
market.

**Renewable Energy**

Renewable energy is an asset driven market opportunity that, given the scale of the sites
covered by the Enterprise Zone, should be explored more fully with the aim of making the
airports operations and ideally all the businesses operating from them carbon neutral whilst
also generating non-aeronautical revenue. The Airport masterplan includes provision for a
renewable energy plant in the ‘Gateway Zone’, but the form this would take is far from clear.

What is needed, therefore, is a comprehensive energy strategy and financing plan that
details potential responses to different scales of energy consumptive development on the
two airport sites and exploration of a range of technologies for meeting the required demand.
This would include solar, wind lens and biomass (using grass-cuttings from the airfield, wood
chip or other crops grown on undeveloped sites), geothermal; and in the longer term if a
Severn Barrage project ever sees the light of day, there would also be a tidal source to
exploit.

Financing options could include the Green Investment Bank or commercial partnerships for
established technologies, and EU funding (ERDF, Horizion, 2020) to trial newer technologies
for their suitability to locate at airports. If the renewable sources generate a surplus beyond
the onsite requirements, this would result in the realisation of carbon credits which the airport
could then use to incentivise airlines flying routes from it.

**Surface Access**
The 2008 Welsh Transport Strategy (Welsh Assembly Government, 2008) incorporates
priorities such as “enhancing international connectivity”; and “improving access between key
settlements and sites”, which appear designed to reflect an important role for the airport. Key
actions identified then include:

- Support public transport access to airports (p46); and
• Preparation of a surface access strategy for Cardiff International Airport as well as the planned Defence Training Academy at St Athan (p51).

With this context, and given the need to make CIA and St Athan more accessible, (and therefore competitive with rival facilities elsewhere) coming to a resolution over long standing surface access proposals that would achieve this, must form an important part of any future investment plans for the Enterprise Zone sites. Figure 13 shows the disposition of the important strategic transport links relative to the airport and potential “Gateway” development site.

**Figure13: Surface Access Links to the Main Enterprise Zone Sites**
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Whilst, there is sufficient capacity in much of the transport network in Cardiff Capital Region to accommodate the future development of the Enterprise Zone, there are one or two important bottlenecks that will need to be addressed as CIA and the Enterprise Zone develops, while other improvement schemes are proposed (or underway) that together will enhance the quality and speed of access to the key development areas. The key schemes appear to be:

• Five Mile Lane highway improvements (Committed);
• Peak period congestion relief at Culverhouse Cross;
• Cardiff Capital Region metrolink, linking not just the airport, but the whole of the Enterprise Zone to Cardiff Centre and beyond to the airport’s wider catchment area in the Valleys; and

• Creation of a new road access via the northern boundary of St Athan to facilitate development of the Aerospace Business Park.

Taken together, the effect will be to increase CIA’s catchment, improve its accessibility and change the perception of the Enterprise Zone as being somewhat out with the boundary of the city. This will be important in the fight to clawback passenger traffic that is currently being lost and market the Enterprise Zone to potential inward investors in relevant sectors.

With the Five Mile Lane improvements underway and traffic conditions at Culverhouse Cross primarily dependent on other sources of traffic, planning priority should be given to the Metro connection and the St Athan road access improvements. The original TBI plc proposal of a new road from the airport to J34 seemingly having little merit until the airport and wider Enterprise Zone develops substantially, and trunk road access to link to a new crossing as part of a Severn Barrage, seemingly still some considerable way into the future.

The 2006 Airport Masterplan and the more recent master planning exercise for the ‘Gateway’ area adjacent to CIA, undertaken as part of the Development strategy for the Enterprise Zone, both reference a ‘rail’ connection to CIA including a new terminus within, or close to, the terminal building. For an airport with around 1mppa presently and a rail network to central Cardiff constrained to one train an hour through Rhoose, the notion of providing a rail spur to the airport (especially with the gradients it would need to climb) would seem wholly unrealistic given the likely costs involved and projected ridership. If the Metro project ultimately takes a light rail form and the Gateway area takes off as a commercial location this idea could be revisited, but in the interim, the priority needs to be on addressing capacity issues on the Rhoose line to secure more service frequency (initially 2x/hr, then 3x/hr) and relocating Rhoose station eastward to a location either on Pentire Y-De or near Porthkerry. A shuttle bus would then be able to provide regular, quick and easy access to and from the airport.

Since this kind of scheme would greatly enhance access to the airport from Swansea and Bridgend as well as Cardiff and Newport, reducing travel times to equivalent journeys to Edinburgh, Stuttgart and Newcastle airports from their city centres, and would be relatively cost-effective in airport terms because of the wider economic benefits of the investment in improved track capacity and signalling, it looks attractive from an airport access point of
view. But until such time as a 15-20 minute frequency can be achieved from Cardiff, the existing bus service is the only realistic option for public transport access to the airport unless a very sophisticated shared taxi scheme can more widely promoted.

**Airport City Concept**

The vision set out for the Cardiff Capital Region by its Board in 2015 (Welsh Government, 2015A) is a compelling one:

“A globally-connected, great place to live and work — powering the Welsh economy.”

“… an ambitious, collaborative and well-connected … Region with the confidence and lifestyle to deliver sustained success for our people and compete on the national and international stage.”

But in the new global market where access to capital, skills and resources is becoming ever more competitive how can this be achieved? The Board of Cardiff Capital City region argue convincingly that it requires the identification of the capabilities, resources and critical mass that will give South Wales a competitive advantage and actively marketing them in such a way as to make key investors, including sovereign wealth funds, aware of the advantages the region presents, namely:

- Capital city attributes without the drawbacks;
- High rankings in European quality of life tables;
- Universities and colleges geared up to partner business to develop the commercial opportunities of innovation and research;
- Globally recognised companies (e.g. BT, Ford, Admiral, General Dynamics, Airbus, GE) that are leaders in their respective sectors to work with;
- Significant supply chain opportunities for SMEs to drive growth in priority sectors; and
- Outstanding broadband coverage, speed and capacity.

In addition to which there are plans for a programme of major events over the next ten years to help define and raise the profile of the region:
• Attract a European soccer cup final to the Region before 2020 and further test matches/ODI's\(^{10}\);

• Develop further iconic buildings (e.g. Callaghan Square and the new BBC Wales headquarters) and venues (e.g. a leading convention and exhibition centre) and activities that will secure global recognition\(^{11}\);

• Host the 2026 Commonwealth Games;

• Win European City of Culture status; and

• Secure the 2018 Rugby League World Cup and the Volvo Ocean Race.

However, to be perceived as “globally connected, and investor ready” Cardiff Capital Region must have an accessible, thriving international airport, hosting a range of carriers and serving – directly or indirectly - major global business and tourist destinations, that can operate as a “Gateway to Wales”. Many capital cities are supported by international airports they can be proud of, that have helped increase business investment, especially in the vicinity of the airport. CIA currently has some way to go to achieve that kind of threshold, but it is important to define from the outset that scale of aspiration.

With that in mind, it is interesting to note that the Enterprise Zone development strategy refers to the potential development of the ‘Gateway’ zone alongside CIA as an ‘Airport City’ and offers Figure 14 as an indicative illustration as to how this might look and the types of land uses it might contain.

Whilst the detailed layout planning may need to be refined once the strategic capacity study has determined the scale and location of plots inside the operational boundary to be reserved as ‘opportunity sites’ for activities requiring airside access (e.g. an expansion of BAMC, other MRO developments, a cargo centre and an enhanced FBO facility), the scale of ambition Figure 13 demonstrates is admirable. What may merit further consideration is the terminology used.

---

\(^{10}\) Cricket One Day International

\(^{11}\) For example a half marathon road race – the Welsh equivalent of the Great North Run, or a triathlon (swim across Cardiff bay, bike ride past both airports run past main city centre landmarks or an Urban Games concept).
As Stevens, Baker and Freestone (2010) highlight, with many developments around the world seeking to tag themselves as an ‘Airport City’ and refer to the nomenclature associated with it (see Figure 15) – Manchester Airport is a recent example, it has become an overused term often used to describe projects far larger than that planned for the Vale of Glamorgan.

“The evolution of these models is a result of descriptive representations from government marketing strategies, observed industry clustering, and projection of existing trends” rather than any kind of empirical research or didactic policy making and yet increasingly they are being embraced as “normative formulae for either airport operators or approaches to regional development” (Stevens, Baker, and Freestone, 2010).
That said, the entrepreneurial idea of the airport going beyond purely facilitating the movement of aircraft and aviation related activity and seizing a variety of commercial and industrial opportunities as part of a wider land use zone of development often associated with an airport is a good one, but arguably needs to adopt a more accurate - and perhaps memorable - terminology such as the Airport ‘Campus’ or ‘Quarter’, and in so doing establish itself as a distinctive a new Business District within the wider Cardiff City Region.

Conceptually the urban planning and economic literature is extremely supportive of this kind of concept as the selective quotes in Box 4 serve to illustrate, but to work effectively as a net addition to a city or regional economy it is clear is that a development of this kind on any scale needs to be based on uses that manifestly require airport proximity; it can not just be another business park. Valorisation on these grounds points to the following as the key categories of activities that would be attracted to a development in the Airport Enterprise Zone:

- **Airport-support functions**: Activities supporting the operations of the airport like flight kitchens and aircraft maintenance, airport-related freight services (shipping, freight forwarding, customs, foreign trade zones, and services for airline employees and passengers such as crewing check-in and training centres, hotels, restaurants and car rental franchises;

- **Time-sensitive activities**: Goods-processing and distribution functions such as e-commerce, warehousing, and perishables handling – also express freight depots as this
has become the preferred mode for shipping high value to weight products, like electronics, optics, and pharmaceuticals;

- **Businesses with high-travel demands**: Airport proximity is also a magnet for organizations whose operations require frequent inter-city travel to do face-to-face business. ‘Airport intensive’ economic sectors include insurance, banking and finance, printing and publishing, transport, computers, precision and optical instruments, business services, and R&D (York Aviation, 2004). Airport corridors have accordingly become attractive for office buildings housing regional corporate headquarters; and

- **Non-aeronautical development attracted by agglomeration economies**: The accessibility, visibility, and prestige of an airport address can attract non-airport development, serving other markets through growing economies of scale.

**Box 5: Airport Related Development in the Academic Literature**

“In a globalized world, aviation has cemented its place as a dominant transport technology with consequent ramifications for the ordering of urban and regional space economies at different scales. John Kasarda (www.aerotropolis.com) has memorably captured this emergent reality with his notion of a fourth wave of development in which airports shape business location and urban development in the twenty-first century as much as highways did in the twentieth century, railroads in the nineteenth and seaports in the eighteenth” (Freestone Baker, 2011).

“In Castells (1996) ‘spaces of flows’, … Airports are the ‘hubs of flows’, and … are central to competitive and comparative advantage: so much so that for some ‘the airport is perhaps the most important, single piece of infrastructure in the battle between cities and nations for influence in, and the benefits of, growth and development” (O’Connor & Scott, 1992).

“Airports have become vital functional nodes in the world economy and the jousting for regional, national, and international competitiveness. They are growth nodes for local areas and regional economies” (Freestone, 2009).

“… the ‘airport area’ beyond the operational fence has in many cities worldwide created a generic post-modern landscape of offices, hotels, warehouses, shopping complexes, and logistics facilities” (Freestone & Baker, 2011).

It now forms a distinguishable airport-driven component of the ‘patchwork city region’ and captures how airports and cities now “melt together spatially and economically” (Schaafsma, Amkreutz & Guller, 2008).

Source: As shown, quotes selected by Northpoint Aviation.
So in addition to the Passenger, MRO and cargo elements discussed earlier, economic benefits from the Welsh Government’s acquisition of CIA and St Athan are likely to be maximised if these are accompanied by one or more of the following:

- A dedicated military enclave;
- An Aviation College or Academy run in collaboration with a globally recognised partner (e.g. Embre Riddle, Cranfield University in the UK or the Ecole Nationale de l'Aviation Civile - ENAC in Toulouse); and
- A Conference and Business Centre, integrated with hotel accommodation on or adjacent to one of the two airports.

The foregoing will then help to provide key anchor developments for a cluster of non-aeronautical development including:

- Hotel campus/village;
- A creative industries office/studio campus;
- An aerospace innovation centre and aerotech park;
- A logistics export park, incorporating a cold store and customs facilities;
- A high spec airport light industrial estate; and
- A student village with local amenities (i.e. a convenience shop, post office, café, crèche/nursery and access to NHS services) for those attending the College/Academy or working on site.

All while still allow targeted opportunities for a gated ‘air park’ and housing development adjacent to existing settlements.

Providing the necessary up-front investment to catalyse this scale of development will be a major challenge, but the incremental approach used at Liverpool and Doncaster-Sheffield by Peel Holdings/Airports Group, may offer a model for a public-private joint venture between the Welsh Government, Vale of Glamorgan Council and Legal & General, in which development profits from one component of the scheme are recycled to bring forward the next. The key to this approach, however, is for the development vehicle being used, to securing comprehensive ownership of all developable land so that betterment value created by Welsh Government investment in St Athan and CIA is not simply captured by private land interests.
Addressing Constraints and Managing Risks

Finally, there are a number of strategic issues, which bear upon the core theme of economic benefit maximisation, that we think require further in-depth consideration to ensure they do not become future constraints or risks to the scale of ambition set out in the preceding Chapter. These are outlined briefly below.

One Airport or Two?

The Minister requested advice on whether the Welsh Government’s aspirations for enhanced aviation links and a thriving aerospace cluster could be achieved on one site (this would have to be CIA for reasons of runway capability) or two (i.e. also retain and jointly operate St Athan). Having discussed the issues at some length with relevant parties, the conclusion is relatively clear cut for the following reasons:

- Runways are critical pieces of national infrastructure that should not be foregone unless it is absolutely certain they have no long-term future;
- Hawarden does not have the capacity for large-scale projects, nor is Airbus inclined to share its site with MRO or aircraft recycling operations;
- It is not clear that strategic opportunity sites with airside access on the scale required for Wales Aerospace ambitions can be developed at Cardiff Airport alone, although further work is needed to confirm that;
- There are few strategic alternatives for large-scale aerospace activity requiring runway access within two hour travel time of Cardiff, in an area which including the near South West is at the heart of the UK aerospace sector;
- A consolidation of current St Athan aerospace activity at Cardiff Airport would be costly – it has been estimated that it would cost £80million to recreate the facilities at St Athan at the Cardiff Airport site;
- Significant cost savings should be possible across the two airport operations once contracts and development ambitions are properly aligned and governance co-ordinated;
- There may be advantage in having flexibility to allocate costs and expenditures between the two sites; and
- It is also noted that Instrument Landing System (ILS) issues at Brize Norton may open up further military related opportunities at St Athan.
Accordingly St Athan should be retained and a two-airport approach to development in the Enterprise Zone adopted.

The Focus of Aviation Related Spend and its Share of the Transport Budget

With so many Welsh originating passengers crossing the border to use English airports, Welsh policy makers face another important strategic decision, which will again impact on the scale of economic impacts derived from aviation in Wales and where they are realised. The main issue being - how much effort should be spent on seeking to claw these passengers back and where does that sit as a priority within the transport and wider EST and overall budgets of the Welsh Government? For example, it could be argued that to invest in surface transport projects where a material part of the business case for the project is to make access to airports outside Wales more convenient, is unlikely to be as beneficial as investing in schemes designed to support airport infrastructure in South Wales, particularly in the St Athan - Cardiff Airport Enterprise Zone.

In North Wales, the absence of a commercial airport makes the argument for improved access to 'out of Wales' airports much stronger, although a North/South air link to Cardiff remains essential given travel times achievable on surface modes. Parts of West Wales might also justify such consideration where travel times to the national capital from significant population centres is equally long or difficult. The concept of equality of access to Welsh national institutions from any part of the country is an appealing one and Cardiff Airport is essential to achieving it.

In South Wales the leakage evidence shows that air passengers are able to make their way to other airports if they need or choose to – and so there is no case for expenditure on initiatives to encourage this. Instead, the focus should be on making it quicker and more convenient to get to CIA and ensuring Welsh business, inbound visitors and outbound holiday-makers can then access the direct or one stop connections to the key destinations they wish to get to from there.

This puts a priority on developing a critical mass of passengers and regular business users of CIA, which in turn requires the route support and surface access improvements discussed in the previous chapter. Without this, delivery of improved service standards leading to an enhanced user experience at the Airport itself and eye-catching marketing campaigns to show off what has been achieved (all of which will require some thoughtfully targeted
investment), capturing the underlying demand needed to sustain domestic and international air service connectivity direct from the Welsh Capital will be extremely difficult.

Given the pressures on Welsh Government budgets and competing demands for investment elsewhere, the difficulty of identifying the necessary capital and revenue funding for these initiatives is appreciated, but there should be no doubt that they are critical to realising the airports potential.

State Aid
The key to finding pathways through the complex state aid legislation that is associated with airport development requires the adoption of certain high-level principles:

- Eliminating the need for operational aid to the airports, thereby allowing greater leeway on route start-up and capital support without running into cumulative aid issues;
- Separating airport infrastructure investment from broader commercial projects,
- Maximising the extent of safety and security activities (policing, air traffic control, customs, fire-fighting service etc.) paid for by the state as this does not amount to state aid;
- Having an overarching strategy, that clearly identifies where carefully targeted aid can generate significant economic benefits without materially affecting the prospects of competitor airports in a 60-minute travel time (which both Bristol, Lulsgate and Gloucestershire Airports lie outside);
- Seeking as soon as possible, notified EU approval for those elements that do amount to state aid, and being clear those that do not and in effect are the actions of a well-funded market investor taking a long-term perspective; and
- Adopting governance structures that are compatible with these other principles and managing programme financing and delivery accordingly.

As previously indicated, we see both the need and considerable merit in the Welsh Government leading the Airport Enterprise Zone development strategy, at least in the short to medium term. Therefore, developing a soundly based protocol to cover start-up aid (potentially piggy-backing on DfT’s RACF approval from the Commission, but with appraisal criteria more suited to the Welsh situation) and capital investment at an early stage would be a politically and finically prudent step. The subsequent adoption of a private sector led financing and governance model, based either on the successful partnership approaches championed by Peel Airports and Canadian Trust airports, potentially offers an interesting
private led alternative in the medium to long term once the futures of both airports have been assured.

**Risk Management**

For a programme of this scale, significance and complexity, it is important to have in place clear and well developed risk management register (probably in a nested form to reflect the roles of different players and those they have control over and responsibility for) and associated mitigation strategies, that are regularly updated, reviewed and acted upon by those with relevant governance responsibilities.

Although we have not seen any evidence of these, we assume something along these lines exists; it might, however, be prudent to get these or any new documents responding to this report, independently audited so that the programme has a sound footing from the outset.

**Programme Management and Governance Arrangements**

**Providing a Clear ‘Route Map’ to Securing the Long Term Vision**

The Cardiff - St Athan Strategic Development Framework provides a coherent and logical strategic vision for the development of the three Enterprise Zones (i.e. St Athan Aerospace Business Park, Cardiff Airport and land adjacent to the Airport - the Gateway Development Zone), and a clear statement of intent. Although one or two aspects may merit revisiting as more detailed planning is undertaken, what is now required is a clearly defined and well developed ‘route map’ to deliver the strategy that has been set out, and the commitment of the necessary expertise and resources to realise it. This is probably the biggest gap in the large volume of documentation surrounding the airport that has been examined during the course of this study.

Typically a ‘route map’ of this kind would take the form of a pyramid of documents:

- This would start with a Masterplan, looking 15-25 years ahead, for each component part of the Enterprise Zone and any areas adjacent to it (e.g. Rhoose and St Athan village itself) that are relevant to its implementation;
- Sitting under this would be a 5-10 year Development Programme comprising more detailed delivery programmes for each of the three main sites;
- Then a 3-5 year Business Plan for each airport and the ‘Gateway’ development area; and
An annual Budget looking ahead three years, tied clearly to the delivery of those business plans.

It would be important to revisit and update each component of the road map regularly, as their different time horizons require; and each would have extracting efficiencies from integration, engaging private partners and wider stakeholders and capturing the economic benefits of aviation related growth at their heart.

At the top of the document pyramid would be a range of active delivery orientated documents such as:

- Sub-Zonal Planning Frameworks;
- Special Development Orders – eliminating the need for complex planning procedures; and
- Project Delivery Plans.

**Governance Structure**

Our view is that the appointment of zonal or cross-cutting project ‘champions’ responsible to Management Boards at each location and an over-arching Enterprise Zone Board providing advice to a cross-cutting Ministerial Committee, will be required to not only to co-ordinate and drive delivery, but also to establish appropriate project oversight and programme governance. The details of these arrangements would be for officials to agree with Ministers, but we consider a structure, similar to that in Figure 16, to have merit in terms of:

- Clear reporting lines and definitions of responsibility;
- Ensuring enhanced land values created as a result of Welsh Government investment in the Enterprise Zone, and infrastructure serving it, can be captured and re-invested;
- Careful targeting of the available public resources to maximise the economic outputs secured for Cardiff Capital Region and the rest of South Wales;
- Maximising agglomeration and spillover effects from the clustering of development;
- Facilitating the opportunity to introduce significant private capital when the time is right; and
- Affording the flexibility to allow selective disposals of assets, land or equity in the main or spin-off businesses, as and when appropriate.
**Management Structure**

In terms of a management structure to deliver the foregoing, Figure 17, sets out what is proposed. The structure envisages senior management have oversight and reporting responsibilities of both airports but that there is dedicated public facing management at each. This should provide an efficient but safe and coherent alignment of executive responsibilities, with reports to a Management Board and the main Enterprise Zone holding Company Board.
**Funding**

The financing of a 20-25 year programme of development in the Enterprise Zone of the kind envisaged in previous chapters needs a far more in-depth analysis than has been possible in this study, but it is likely to be on a scale that will require a significant public private partnership and a range of sources for different components of the programme. Potential contributors include:

- The Welsh Government (route development funding, further capital grants and loans – potentially funded from future equity sales, investment in surface access links);
- The UK Government (loan guarantees from the UK Infrastructure Fund);
• Cardiff Capital Region (City Deal);
• Vale of Glamorgan Council (Land sales or capital borrowing);
• European Commission (ERDF funds, SESAR, Horizon 2020);
• The European Investment Bank (Development loans);\(^{12}\)
• Private equity investors (Sovereign Wealth Funds, UK/Welsh Pension Funds, specialist Infrastructure Funds, Legal & General); and
• Private debt finance (for individual projects within the overall development).

Programme Management

There is an argument for possibly aligning this in the form of a Development Corporation to pull together the wider funding and land/surface access development aspects. This would allow the existing holding company to focus on strategy for, and oversight of, the two airports; but equally they could eventually be brought together at some future date. Failing that, there will need to be a substantive in-house and external advisory team:

• Initially to undertake the additional market research, masterplanning, project definition and stakeholder consultation;
• Then to look at programme co-ordination and time-tabling (e.g. with other major projects within the Capital Region, on the rail network and brought forward by MoD), implementation strategies including securing legislative/planning approvals and ideally cross-party political support within Wales and at a UK and European level;
• In parallel to examine options for funding, partnerships and procurement, and then to prepare documentation to support each of these aspects; and
• Finally to provide programme oversight and scrutiny for Welsh Government Ministers, the Welsh Assembly and UK Government and EU/EIB reporting lines.

This would almost certainly require the appointment of a Programme Director, with extensive aviation and programme management experience reporting to Senior Civil Servants in the Welsh Government and through them the Minister for Enterprise, Science and Transport.

\(^{12}\) It has done this for several other European airports, including most recently €200m for Bergen.
Only by putting this kind of strategic support structure in place will airport management be able to focus on the day to day running of the two airports and secure project execution and closure for the opportunities that come forward.

Conclusions and Recommendations

The existence of many secondary airports is fragile, subject to many external influences over which they have no control and yet inhibited by regulation that imposes excessive costs whilst limiting commercial freedom of action. They face structural challenges of limits to potential demand, strong seasonality and the impact of consolidation and changed business models in the airline industry.

In some cases, the scale of this challenge has proved too much, resulting in closure and loss of accessibility that has been of material concern to those managing relevant local economies. Equally the direct, indirect, induced and catalytic impact of airport development is now widely accepted.

So when under Abertis’s stewardship, Cardiff International Airport went into a period of significant decline, the Welsh Government faced a choice of acting to ensure Wales’ capital city continued to have its own airport (as every other capital city in Europe does), or risking further deterioration, loss of accessibility and eventual closure. That it chose to take over the airport has been politically challenging and involves some financial risk, but it also gives Cardiff Capital region and South Wales more broadly, the opportunity to grasp the substantial economic opportunities that ownership of Cardiff and St Athan airports bestow. These are discussed at some length in the body of this report but include:

- The creation of a thriving regional airport with prospectively significant future realisable asset value;
- Retention of a symbolically important gateway to Wales and one that is important in realizing some of the broader ambitions of the Capital Region board to attract major events and investment in new venues;
- Securing enhanced domestic and international route connectivity that will support many of the priority sectors identified in the Welsh Government’s economic strategy;
- Underpinning and expansion of a key economic cluster that is vital to the wider economy of South Wales;
• Retaining 3,000 existing jobs and providing the opportunity to generate several thousand more; and

• The potential to establish aviation-driven business district (Grover, 2013) equipping Wales with the infrastructure to compete in the new speed-conscious, globally networked economy, and attract time-critical manufacturing, repair and distribution and export focused service sector companies in a cluster that could become a magnet for the development of have value business hubs/headquarters for those who rely on frequent long-distance or international travel.

We have set out some of the constraints and risks associated with such an ambitious vision and delivery agenda and have set out our thoughts on the kind of governance arrangements, management structures and funding approaches required to address them. But given, sufficient political commitment, leadership, strong partnership working, careful management and adequate resourcing we foresee no major stumbling blocks to their realization, enabling Wales to maximise the benefits from its investment in the Cardiff and St Athan Airport Enterprise Zone.
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## Glossary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACI EUROPE</td>
<td>European region of Airports Council International, the worldwide professional association of airport operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'Agglomeration economies'</td>
<td>The benefits that arise when firms locate near one another together in cities and industrial clusters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Passenger Duty (APD)</td>
<td>An excise duty which is charged on the carriage of passengers flying from a United Kingdom or Isle of Man airport on an aircraft that has an authorised take-off weight of more than ten tonnes or more than twenty seats for passengers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATC/ATM</td>
<td>Air Traffic Control/Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATS</td>
<td>Air Traffic Services, provided in the UK by civil and military Air Navigation Service Providers (ANSPs) from aerodromes and Area Control Centres across the UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAMC</td>
<td>British Airways Maintenance Cardiff, located @ Cardiff Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bombardier</td>
<td>A leading manufacturer of planes and trains, with its HQ in Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA</td>
<td>Civil Aviation Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff Aviation</td>
<td>A full service aircraft maintenance company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiff Capital Region</td>
<td>Economic area defined by the Travel to Work Area of the City of Cardiff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAT1 ILS</td>
<td>Category 1 instrument landing system is a ground-based instrument approach system providing guidance for aircraft, using lighting arrays and radio signals, to enable safe landing during unfavourable meteorological conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centre for Cities</td>
<td>A think tank specialising in research into understanding UK cities, and how and why economic growth and change takes place in them</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIA</td>
<td>Cardiff International Airport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIAL</td>
<td>Cardiff International Airport Ltd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City Deals</td>
<td>Agreements between government and cities which give the cities and their surrounding area certain devolved powers and freedom to support economic growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster effects</td>
<td>Cumulative causation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Cities</td>
<td>Grouping of ten of the largest UK city regions outside London</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EASA</td>
<td>European Aviation Safety Agency, established in 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBITDA</td>
<td>Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization – An indicator of a company’s financial performance, calculated as revenue minus expenses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-Cube</td>
<td>An aviation services company based at St. Athan Aerospace Business Park, near Cardiff, U.K., which specialises in ‘end-of-life’ aircraft projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIB</td>
<td>European Investment Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterprise Zone</td>
<td>Areas in which fiscal incentives (e.g. tax concessions) and simplified planning rules, are offered to encourage business investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERDF</td>
<td>European Regional Development Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EST</td>
<td>Department for Economy, Science and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDI</td>
<td>A foreign direct investment (FDI) is a controlling ownership in a business enterprise in one country by an entity based in another country.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fortune 500</td>
<td>An annual list of the five hundred largest US industrial corporations, as measured by gross income.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finmeccanica</td>
<td>Italian owned advanced engineering company, specialising in the aerospace industry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTE</td>
<td>Full time equivalent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>Gross Domestic Product.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GVA</td>
<td>Gross Value Added (Measure of the contribution to an economy of each individual producer, industry or sector).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIAL</td>
<td>Highlands and Islands Airports.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizon 2020</td>
<td>Current EU Research and Innovation programme, with nearly €80 billion of funding support available over 7 yrs (2014 to 2020).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICAT</td>
<td>International Centre for Aerospace Training, Cardiff and Vale College.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mittelstand Enterprises</td>
<td>Small and medium-sized enterprises in German-speaking countries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRO</td>
<td>Maintenance, repair and overhaul of aircraft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OEM</td>
<td>Original equipment manufacturer (OEM) is a term used when one company makes a part or subsystem that is used in another company’s end product.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSO</td>
<td>Public Service Obligation: An arrangement in EU transport law where a governing body/other authority offers an auction for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>subsidies</strong></td>
<td>permitting the winning company a monopoly to operate a specified service of public transport for a given period of time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Satellite towns and cities</strong></td>
<td>A concept in urban planning that refers essentially to smaller metropolitan areas which are located near to, but are mostly independent of, larger metropolitan areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SESAR</strong></td>
<td>Single European Sky ATM Research: a collaborative project to overhaul European airspace and its air traffic management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TBI Ltd</strong></td>
<td>An airport owner and operator, incorporated as a subsidiary of Airport Concessions and Development Limited (ACDL), owned by Spanish companies Abertis Infraestructuras S.A. (90%) and AENA Desarrollo Internacional S.A. (10%) in 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UAV</strong></td>
<td>Unmanned aerial vehicle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UNWTO</strong></td>
<td>United Nations World Tourism Organisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TSA</strong></td>
<td>Tourism Satellite Accounts are a method of measuring the direct economic contributions of tourism consumption an economy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>World City</strong></td>
<td>A metropolitan urban area with a great global influence on economies and processes due to financial/commercial power independent of population size</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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